



# *Review* **Contribution of pks+** *Escherichia coli* **(***E***.** *coli***) to Colon Carcinogenesis**

**Mohammad Sadeghi 1,\*, Denis Mestivier [1](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6327-7857) and Iradj Sobhani 1,2,[\\*](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5113-0660)**

- <sup>1</sup> EA7375–EC2M3: Early, Detection of Colonic Cancer by Using Microbial & Molecular Markers,
- Paris East Créteil University (UPEC), 94010 Créteil, France; denis.mestivier@u-pec.fr <sup>2</sup> Department of Gastroenterology, Assistance Publique–Hôpitaux de Paris (APHP), Henri Mondor Hospital, 94010 Créteil, France
- **\*** Correspondence: mohammad.sadeghi@u-pec.fr (M.S.); iradj.sobhani@aphp.fr (I.S.)

**Abstract:** Colorectal cancer (CRC) stands as a significant global health concern, ranking second in mortality and third in frequency among cancers worldwide. While only a small fraction of CRC cases can be attributed to inherited genetic mutations, the majority arise sporadically due to somatic mutations. Emerging evidence reveals gut microbiota dysbiosis to be a contributing factor, wherein polyketide synthase-positive *Escherichia coli* (pks+ *E. coli*) plays a pivotal role in CRC pathogenesis. pks+ bacteria produce colibactin, a genotoxic protein that causes deleterious effects on DNA within host colonocytes. In this review, we examine the role of the gut microbiota in colon carcinogenesis, elucidating how colibactin-producer bacteria induce DNA damage, promote genomic instability, disrupt the gut epithelial barrier, induce mucosal inflammation, modulate host immune responses, and influence cell cycle dynamics. Collectively, these actions foster a microenvironment conducive to tumor initiation and progression. Understanding the mechanisms underlying pks+ bacteriamediated CRC development may pave the way for mass screening, early detection of tumors, and therapeutic strategies such as microbiota modulation, bacteria-targeted therapy, checkpoint inhibition of colibactin production and immunomodulatory pathways.

**Keywords:** pks+ *E. coli*; colorectal cancer; colon; gut microbiota; diagnosis; therapy

# **1. Introduction**

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is one of the most common cancers, with over half of cases diagnosed between the ages of 50 and 75. Among cancers, CRC ranks second in mortality and third in frequency. In 2018, 1,849,000 new CRC cases and 881,000 CRC related-deaths were reported worldwide, representing approximately 10% of all diagnosed cancers [\[1\]](#page-16-0).

It is estimated that CRC results from the accumulation of several gene mutations within a colonic stem cell. Less than 5% of CRCs can be explained by a constitutional mutation (such as Lynch syndrome or familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP)), while roughly 95% of CRCs are considered sporadic, meaning they result from the accumulation of somatic mutations and/or epigenetic events [\[2\]](#page-16-1).

The composition of the microbiota performs common primary functions in all humans, although it is partly specific to each individual. Digestion of food by the intestinal microbiota is one of the essential metabolic functions of the human body. The abundance and diversity of bacteria both provide beneficial functions and insure a frontline defense against the colonization of so-called pathogenic or virulent bacteria.

Nearly 90% of fecal bacteria belong to two dominant phyla, Firmicutes and Bacteroides [\[3\]](#page-16-2). Dysbiosis is a change in the dominant clusters associated with a decrease in bacterial diversity in the microbiome (e.g., Bacteroides/Firmicutes ratio) and a decrease in bacterial density [\[4\]](#page-16-3). Dysbiosis has been characterized in metabolic diseases [\[5\]](#page-16-4), chronic inflammatory bowel diseases (IBDs) such as Crohn's disease and ulcerative colitis [\[6\]](#page-16-5),



**Citation:** Sadeghi, M.; Mestivier, D.; Sobhani, I. Contribution of pks+ *Escherichia coli* (*E*. *coli*) to Colon Carcinogenesis. *Microorganisms* **2024**, *12*, 1111. [https://doi.org/10.3390/](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms12061111) [microorganisms12061111](https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms12061111)

Academic Editor: Yunhuan Liu

Received: 21 May 2024 Revised: 24 May 2024 Accepted: 29 May 2024 Published: 30 May 2024



**Copyright:** © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license [\(https://](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) [creativecommons.org/licenses/by/](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)  $4.0/$ ).

neurological conditions (autism, multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer's [\[7\]](#page-16-6), Parkinson's [\[8\]](#page-17-0)) and CRC [\[9\]](#page-17-1).

The first link between the bacterial population and colon carcinogenesis was reported in the 1970s through the identification of a relationship between *Streptococcus gallolyticus* (formerly *Streptococcus bovis* biotype 1) and CRC, with positive blood cultures in patients suffering from bacterial endocarditis in whom right-sided neoplasia was the source of the infection [\[10\]](#page-17-2). Since then, knowledge has expanded, implicating the microbiota as a potential actor in the genesis of CRC.

Moreover, in mouse models at a genetically high risk of cancer or subjected to a procarcinogenic chemical treatment (azoxymethane (AOM)), the presence of the intestinal microbiota acts as a significant co-stimulant. Mice raised in axenic (lacking intestinal microbiota) conditions exhibit a reduced incidence of pre-neoplastic lesions compared to those raised in non-axenic conditions [\[9](#page-17-1)[,11\]](#page-17-3). Additionally, analysis of the 16S rRNA of the intestinal microbiota has revealed that the bacterial phylogenetic core was different in CRC patients than in individuals with normal colonoscopy findings, irrespective of age and gender [\[12\]](#page-17-4).

One must distinguish between two types of bacteria linked to colon carcinogenesis [\[13\]](#page-17-5). The first type, presumed to initiate the carcinogenesis, likely by inducing DNA breaks and oxidative stress, are the so-called "driver" bacteria [\[14\]](#page-17-6). They consist of virulent pathogenic bacteria such as *Enterococcus fecalis*, *Escherichia coli*, and *Bacteroides fragilis*. The second type, known as "passenger" bacteria, are favored by changes in the tumor microenvironment and an increase in intestinal permeability leading to the expansion of the tumor. Their actions promote tumor growth and shape the tumor microenvironment. This group is mainly represented by *Fusobacterium* spp. and *Streptococcus gallolyticus* (formerly *Streptococcus bovis*, biotype 1) [\[13\]](#page-17-5). They can also induce DNA double-strand breaks in intestinal cells, leading to chromosomal instability, gene mutations and DNA epigenetic changes. Consequently, long-term interactions with luminal dysbiosis of the gut microbiota, including an imbalance in commensal bacteria populations, are considered to favor chronic DNA damage in host cells, akin to that observed in sporadic tumor tissues (e.g., deleterious mutations, epigenetic deviations) [\[15\]](#page-17-7).

The direct causation of imprinted DNA changes resulting from a direct interaction between bacteria and host cells is not so far established. Here, we shift our focus to pkss, a group of bacteria proteins involved in various biological processes. These enzymes play a critical role in assembling complex organic molecules, such as fatty acids, antibiotics, and other biologically active substances. Their significance lies in their ability to catalyze a sequence of condensation reactions similar to cellular fatty acid synthesis, ultimately yielding a diverse range of compounds with unique chemical configurations.

The pks island, mainly prevalent among some *E. coli* strains in CRC patients, encodes various biosynthetic machinery, including no ribosomal peptide synthetases (NRPSs), polyketide synthases (pkss), colibactin syntheses as well as an efflux pump [\[16](#page-17-8)[,17\]](#page-17-9). We focus on these bacteria because compelling data from preclinical studies and patient-based research support their role in CRC susceptibility or progression [\[18](#page-17-10)[,19\]](#page-17-11).

# **2.** *E. coli***: A Versatile Bacterium**

*E. coli* is a ubiquitous Gram-negative bacillus commonly found in the intestinal tract of humans and other mammals. While predominantly a commensal organism, certain strains have acquired virulence factors, which render them pathogenic. The versatility of *E. coli* in its roles as both a benign inhabitant and a pathogen has made it a subject of extensive study in microbiology [\[20\]](#page-17-12).

# *2.1. Diverse Population and Phylogeny*

Diverse populations of *E. coli* species inhabit the intestinal tract, with counts ranging from 107 to 109 colony-forming units per gram of feces. It is among the initial colonizers of the sterile intestines of newborns, acquired either from the mother during natural birth or

from the environment during cesarean section [\[21\]](#page-17-13). Phylogenetically, *E. coli* is classified into seven main groups (A, B1, B2, C, D, E, and F) [\[22\]](#page-17-14) based on the presence of virulence factors. While groups A and B1 are typically non-pathogenic, groups B2 and D are associated with both intestinal and extra-intestinal diseases [\[23\]](#page-17-15).

### *2.2. Pathogenic Strains and Diseases*

The intestinal pathotypes include Shiga toxin-producing *E. coli*, enteropathogenic *E. coli*, enterotoxigenic *E. coli*, enteroaggregative *E. coli*, and diffusely adherent *E. coli*, enteroinvasive *E. coli* (including Shigella strains), while the extra-intestinal pathotypes primarily include uropathogenic *E. coli* and strains responsible for neonatal meningitis. Adherence to enterocytes is a prerequisite step for pathogenic strains to initiate virulence pathways [\[20](#page-17-12)[,24\]](#page-17-16) (Table [1\)](#page-2-0).

<span id="page-2-0"></span>**Table 1.** Overview of adherence factors and virulence mechanisms in pathogenic *E. coli* strains.

Pathotype	Adhesion <b>Site</b>	<b>Virulence Factors</b>	Mechanism/Effect	<b>Disease</b> Manifestations	References
Enteropathogenic E. coli (EPEC)	Small bowel enterocytes	Lymphostatin $(LifA/Efa1)$ -EAF plasmid-encoding BFP and per locus—Type III secretion system and effectors (Tir, EspF, EspG, EspH, Map)—Enterotoxin (EspC)	Stimulates Cdc42-dependent filopodia formation, disrupts mitochondrial membrane potential	Diarrhea, intestinal inflammation, increased permeability, loss of absorptive surface area	[20, 22, 24, 25]
Enterohaemorrhagic E. coli (EHEC)	Colon	Shiga toxin (Stx), Urease, Cif, -LEE pathogenicity island encoding, Type III secretion system and effectors (Tir, EspF, EspG, EspH, Map)—Enterotoxin (EspC), ToxB, Pet, and StcE, Lymphostatin (LifA/Efa1)	Cell lyse, cleaves ribosomal RNA, disrupting protein synthesis and killing cells, inducing renal damage, apoptosis, and local colonic damage	Bloody diarrhea (hemorrhagic colitis), non-bloody diarrhea, hemolytic uremic syndrome (HUS)	[20, 22, 24, 26]
Enterotoxigenic E. coli (ETEC)	Small bowel enterocytes	Heat-stable enterotoxin a (STa), Heat-stable enterotoxin b (STb), Heat-labile enterotoxin (LT)	Increases cyclic AMP/GMP concentration, leading to ion secretion, Increases $Ca^{2+}$ concentration. Colonization is mediated by colonization factors (CFs), and LT acts similarly to cholera toxin (CT)	Induces watery diarrhea	[20, 22, 27]
Enteroaggregative E. coli (EAEC)	Small and large bowel epithelia in a thick biofilm	Aggregative adherence fimbriae (AAFs), dispersin-Enterotoxins: Pic, ShET1, EAST1, Pet-Virulence factors regulated by AggR	Pathogenesis involves colonization of the intestinal mucosa. secretion of enterotoxins (e.g., EAST1), and induction of mucosal damage.	Causes persistent diarrhea in children and adults	$[22, 24, 28, -30]$
Enteroinvasive E. coli (EIEC)	Colonic epithelial cells	Type III secretion system-Plasmid-encoded IcsA (outer-membrane protein), SepA (serine protease)—Virulence plasmid-encoded factors, VirA, IpaA, IpaB, IpaC, IpgD, IpaH	It invades epithelial cells, multiplies intracellularly, and induces apoptosis, Actin depolymerization, activation of Cdc42 and Rac	Causes inflammatory colitis similar to Shigella-Invasion, intracellular multiplication, and dissemination, Dysentery, watery diarrhea	[20, 22, 31, 32]
Diffusely adherent E. coli (DAEC)	Epithelial cells diffusely	Adhesin: Dr family (F1845)-Cytotoxic necrotizing factor, autotransporter toxin Pet	Induces cytopathic effects and might impair brush-border enzymes	Implicated in diarrhea, particularly in children >12 months, cytopathic effects, impaired brush- border-associated enzymes	[22, 24, 33, 34]
Uropathogenic E. coli (UPEC)	Uroepithelium	Specific adhesins: (P, type 1, F1C, S, M, Dr fimbriae) (Pap), Toxins: Hemolysin, cytotoxic necrotizing factor (CNF-1, -2), Sat, HIyA	Altered cytoskeleton, necrosis, cell lysis	Uncomplicated cystitis, acute pyelonephritis	$[20, 22, 24, 34 - 36]$

### *2.3. Environmental Adaptation*

*E. coli* exhibits remarkable adaptability to various environments, including the host intestine and natural surroundings like water and soil [\[37\]](#page-18-3). The genomic makeup of *E. coli* is an adaptation to different environmental conditions. This occurs through mechanisms such as microbial genetic mutations and horizontal gene transfer. Factors influencing *E. coli* survival in the environment include temperature, nutrient availability, pH levels, and interactions with other microorganisms. Notably, certain strains of *E. coli* have been implicated in waterborne outbreaks, highlighting the potential health risks associated with environmental contamination.

## *2.4. Biofilm Formation and Resistance to Antibiotics*

Biofilm formation around *E. coli* plays a significant role in its persistence in both environmental and host settings. Biofilms containing *E. coli* have been strongly associated with CRC, suggesting disease development [\[38,](#page-18-4)[39\]](#page-18-5) with a particular trend of strains toward antibiotic resistance; this also raised a hypothesis about the role of antibiotics in the increasing prevalence of CRC [\[40\]](#page-18-6).

### *2.5. Protective Role of E. coli in Gut Microflora against Enteropathogens*

*E. coli* is involved in a commensal relationship with its host. *E. coli EM0*, isolated from the feces of a healthy human volunteer, was shown to have a protective effect against the ETEC K88 strain in piglets. In germfree mice, the mechanism suggested was either "adaptation" of the EM0 strain inoculated first to germfree mice, as ultrastructural differences in the cell morphology were observed in vivo, or a slower generation time for the resistant enterobacteria mutant. Moreover, contributes to the development of the immune system in germfree mice enhance the production of cytokines in peritoneal and bone marrow macrophages and enhance the barrier effect against enteropathogens [\[41,](#page-18-7)[42\]](#page-18-8).

# **3. Role of** *E. coli* **in CRC Development**

# *3.1. Epidemiological Evidence*

*E. coli* is frequently found adherent to cancerous lesions and the nearby epithelium, often in large numbers, sometimes being the only culturable organisms in close contact with the tumor tissues [\[43\]](#page-18-9).

In 1998, PCR tools detected *E. coli* in 60% of adenomas and 77% of CRC biopsy specimens compared to 12% in neighboring normal biopsies and 3% in normal control samples from healthy controls [\[44\]](#page-18-10).

A French cohort study using culture tools found that 66% of CRC biopsies tested positive for *E. coli* compared to 19% in the diverticulosis control group. Among the virulent *E. coli* strains isolated from CRC tissue samples, 43% belonged to the B2 phylotype, a higher proportion than the 32% found in the diverticulosis control group. However, most *E. coli* in the CRC samples (57%) belonged to various other phylotypes [\[18\]](#page-17-10).

The abundance of *E. coli* depends on the thickness of the mucus layer. When the mucus layer is removed, the normal colonic mucosa is relatively free of bacteria, whereas colon cancers and adjacent normal mucosa contain abundant flora, including *E. coli* [\[45\]](#page-18-11).

Chronic inflammation of the intestinal tissues increases the risk of CRC. An epidemiological link between phylotype B2 *E. coli* and CRC suggests that Western diets, which cause chronic gut inflammation, may be a source of this risk. A longitudinal study in children indicated that B2 phylotype *E. coli* is a long-term colonizer of the human intestine, potentially producing prolonged inflammatory toxins, leading to malignancies like CRC [\[43\]](#page-18-9).

# *3.2. Cyclomodulins: DNA-Damaging Compounds Produced by E. coli*

*E. coli* produces compounds known as cyclomodulins, which introduce double-strand DNA breaks into target cells [\[46\]](#page-18-12). These include cytolethal-distending toxin (CDT), cytotoxic necrotizing factor (Cnf) [\[47\]](#page-18-13), cycle-inhibiting factor (Cif) [\[48\]](#page-18-14), intimin-dependent attachment encoded by eae [\[49\]](#page-18-15) and colibactin [\[16\]](#page-17-8) produced by the pks locus [\[50\]](#page-18-16) (Table [2\)](#page-4-0).



<span id="page-4-0"></span>

# *3.3. Cyclomodulins Promoting Cell Proliferation and Tissue Dedifferentiation*

*E. coli* Cytotoxic Necrotizing Factor 1 (CNF1)

Cyclomodulin CNF is the only *E. coli* cyclomodulin that induces cell cycle progression [\[16](#page-17-8)[,47\]](#page-18-13). It is close to three other cyclomodulins, dermonecrotic toxin (DNT) from *Bordetella* spp., Pasteurella Multocida Toxin (PMT) and CagA from *Helicobacter pylori*, that induce cell proliferation [\[46](#page-18-12)[,52\]](#page-18-18).

*E. coli* has three different types of this toxin, CNF1, CNF2 and CNF3, and a fourth type has been found in the bacterium *Yersinia enterocolitica*, named CNFy. These toxins have 65 to 90% common gene sequence similarity. Unlike CNF1, CNF3, and CNFy, which are encoded on the chromosome, CNF2 is situated on a transmissible plasmid [\[53\]](#page-18-19).

This toxin activates Rho GTPases, blocking the cell cycle at the G2–M transition and DNA replication while inhibiting cytokinesis [\[54\]](#page-18-20). *E. coli* CNF1 binds to the tight junctions of host cells, which internalize it by endocytosis. It acts by deamidating a specific glutamine residue in the switch 2 domain that is crucial to GTP hydrolysis via glutamine 63 in Rho [\[55,](#page-18-21)[56\]](#page-18-22) or glutamine 61 in Rac and Cdc42 GTPases [\[57\]](#page-18-23). Hence, CNF1 may lock the G protein in the active state. This toxin activity confers new properties on the epithelial cells, including the cytoskeleton-dependent ability to behave as professional phagocytes, resulting in the formation of multinucleated cells [\[16\]](#page-17-8) (Figure [1\)](#page-5-0).

The potential contribution of CNF to carcinogenesis is multifaceted. Firstly, it alters Rho proteins similar to the changes observed in Ras's proteins in various tumors [\[58](#page-18-24)[,59\]](#page-19-0). Secondly, CNF-induced suppression of Rho GTPases activity, similar to *Salmonella* toxin's impact on SopE and SptP factors, which correlates with gallbladder cancer development [\[60](#page-19-1)[,61\]](#page-19-2). Thirdly, the similarity between the CNF1 and CagA proteins' actions in *Helicobacter pylori*infected gastric epithelial cells suggests CNF1's long-term activation of NF-κB [\[62\]](#page-19-3), known for its role in carcinogenesis [\[63\]](#page-19-4). This activation induces Cox2 proteins linked to chronic tissue inflammation and cancer [\[59,](#page-19-0)[64\]](#page-19-5).

Additionally, CNF promotes cell proliferation, inhibits apoptosis, and induces aneuploidy [\[62\]](#page-19-3), while also disrupting cell attachment and motility via a RhoA-dependent mechanism, contributing to tissue dedifferentiation. These diverse effects underline CNF's potential as a diagnostic marker and therapeutic target in understanding and addressing cancer progression [\[65](#page-19-6)[,66\]](#page-19-7).



<span id="page-5-0"></span>

**Figure 1.** Structure and mechanism of CNF1 action. (**a**) Molecular structure of CNF1. The CNF1 **Figure 1.** Structure and mechanism of CNF1 action. (**a**) Molecular structure of CNF1. The CNF1 molecule has two primary cell interaction sites: the N-terminus, which binds to p37/LRP, and the region directly adjacent to the catalytic domain, which serves as a high-affinity interaction site Lu/BCAM (Lutheran (Lu) adhesion glycoprotein/basal cell adhesion molecule (BCAM)) [67]. (**b**) for Lu/BCAM (Lutheran (Lu) adhesion glycoprotein/basal cell adhesion molecule (BCAM)) [\[67\]](#page-19-8). (b)  $\text{CNT1}$  is either directly secreted or associated with outer membrane vesicles. (1) Binds to two different cell receptors using their N-terminal and C-terminal domains. (2) Internalize into host (**b**) CNF1 is either directly secreted or associated with outer membrane vesicles. (1) Binds to two cells through endocytosis and localize to early endosomes (3). Acidification of the late endosome triggers a conformational change (4), proteolytic processing (5), releasing the catalytic fragment into the cytosol (6). The fragment deamidates Rho GTPases at the cell membrane (7), initiating multiple cell responses, including ubiquitination and proteolytic degradation (8). (Modified figure based on Fabbri et al.'s (2013) and Tantillo et al.'s (2018) illustrations [\[47](#page-18-13)[,68\]](#page-19-9)).

# *3.4. Cyclomodulins Inhibiting Cellular Proliferation*

# 3.4.1. Cytolethal-Distending Toxin

Cytolethal-distending toxin (CDT) was identified in 1988 from strains of *E. coli* isolated from diarrheal patients' feces [\[69\]](#page-19-10). CDTs are holotoxins comprising three subunits, CdtA, CdtB, and CdtC [\[51\]](#page-18-17), whose molecular mass varies according to the bacterial species considered; they are coded by an operon, CdtA, CdtB, and CdtC genes [\[70\]](#page-19-11).

This toxin is the first bacterial toxin shown to be involved in blocking the host cell cycle by arresting the transition between G2 and M. The cells exposed to CDTs have been shown to arrest in the G1 and/or G2 phases of the cell cycle or undergo apoptosis, depending on the cell type.

It acts like DNAses by inducing damage to the DNA of the infected cell. It induces DNA double-strand breaks, detectable with phosphorylation of histone H2AX and relocation of the DNA repair complex Mre11-Rad50 [\[51\]](#page-18-17). This damage will activate the DNA repair system, which causes cell cycle arrest in the G2/M phase [\[16\]](#page-17-8). Errors in repair may lead to a state of senescence if the infected cell is epithelial or mesenchymal [\[71\]](#page-19-12), or death by apoptosis if the infected cell is part of the hematopoietic lineage, such as immune cells [\[72\]](#page-19-13).

In addition, chronic exposure of cells to CDT induces chromosomal aberrations, resulting in an increase in the frequency of mutations, highlighting the genotoxic potential of CDT, which is favorable to cell transformation [\[73\]](#page-19-14).

The molecular mechanisms triggered by CDT secretion from *E. coli* strain 1404, harboring the transferable plasmid pVir that encodes for the *E. coli* cytotoxic necrosis factor 2 (CNF2), have been extensively studied in HeLa co-cultures [\[74\]](#page-19-15). Within 4 h of interaction, HeLa cells exhibit the formation of giant mononuclear cells capable of blocking cells in the G2/M phase. Analysis of two subunits of the complex, cyclin B1 (the regulatory subunit) and protein kinase cdc2 (the catalytic unit), has revealed that CDT inhibits cdc2 kinase activity by preventing its dephosphorylation in normal cells. This inhibition pattern, shared among three partially related CDTs of *E. coli*, suggests that cells exposed to microbial CDT during the G2 and M phases are only blocked in the subsequent G2 phase [\[75\]](#page-19-16).

These observations indicate that the toxin induces a cell arrest mechanism that initiates in the S phase, likely related to the DNA damage checkpoint system. This cell cycle arrest pattern resembles the checkpoint response to ionizing radiation, characterized by the activation of the ataxia telangiectasia-mutated (ATM) kinase and ATM-dependent induction of the tumor suppressor p53. Additionally, phosphorylation of histone H2AX and re-localization of DNA repair proteins such as Mre11 and Rad50 to sites of DNA double-strand breaks are observed [\[72,](#page-19-13)[76,](#page-19-17)[77\]](#page-19-18).

Consequently, failure to repair this damage can lead to a mechanism termed "stressinduced premature senescence". The entry into stress-induced premature senescence of damaged cells can result in uncontrolled proliferation, akin to tumor cells, irrespective of whether it is independent of soluble pro-inflammatory cytokines or not [\[78\]](#page-19-19). Although breaks in the double-stranded DNA of host cells and ATM kinase-mediated responses are observed in short-term experiments with mammalian cells [\[72,](#page-19-13)[79\]](#page-19-20), it remains unclear whether CdtB acts as a true DNase or indirectly induces DNA damage. The exact molecular mechanism by which CdtB induces DNA damage remains to be elucidated.

### 3.4.2. Cell Cycle-Inhibiting Factor (Cif)

Cyclic inhibitory factor (Cif) is another protein secreted by enteropathogenic and enterohemorrhagic *E. coli* [\[78\]](#page-19-19) with two distinct domains with cytopathic activity on Hela cells in vitro [\[80\]](#page-19-21): an N-terminal domain that carries a peptide for addressing the type III secretion system [\[81\]](#page-19-22), which allows it to be injected into the cell, and a C-terminal domain that carries the enzymatic activity of the toxin.

These cytopathic effects result from an irreversible cell cycle arrest at the G2/M transition, accumulation of cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors (CKIs) p21waf1/cip1 and p27kip1, formation of actin stress fibers (cytoskeleton reorganization), and sustained inhibitory phosphorylation of the mitosis inducer, CDK1. The protein is a divergent member of a family of enzymes, including cysteine proteases and acetyltransferases, which have a conserved catalytic triad [\[81\]](#page-19-22). However, it is neither a genotoxic nor an activator of DNA damage checkpoint pathways [\[82\]](#page-19-23). Hence, Cif activates a DNA damage-independent signaling pathway that leads to the inhibition of the G2/M transition.

Inhibition of the ubiquitin/proteasome pathway can affect key proteins involved in CRC. The cellular consequences are the blocking of apoptosis. However, the cells can continue to synthesize DNA and the DNA content of the cells increases through endoreduplication to reach 16n, potentially leading to genetic abnormalities that may promote tumor development [\[46\]](#page-18-12). Inactivation of the Chk1 and 2 kinases does not alleviate Cif-induced inhibition of mitosis, suggesting that Cif initiates cell cycle arrest independently of the ATM-Chk2 and ATR-Chk1 checkpoint pathway [\[82\]](#page-19-23). This arrest is not a result of cytoskeleton alterations, as inhibition of the stress fiber formation by Rho inhibitors does not prevent the accumulation of G2-arrested cells.

# *3.5. Colibactin*

In 2006, Nougayrède et al. identified colibactin for the first time in a strain of *E. coli* (IHE3034) isolated from neonatal meningitis. This toxin is encoded by the pks gene [\[16\]](#page-17-8) and acts as a cyclomodulin, disrupting the eukaryotic cell cycle. The origin and prevalence of the colibactin island among enterobacteria remain unknown. Using isotopic labeling, DNA adductomics, and mass spectrometry, colibactin has been shown to contain double cyclopropane caps that form DNA cross-links by alkylating adenine residues [\[83,](#page-19-24)[84\]](#page-19-25).

# 3.5.1. pks and Its Role in Synthesizing Various Compounds

pkss are vital enzymes engaged in the production of diverse compounds, including fatty acids, antibiotics, and other biologically active molecules. They are pivotal in assembling intricate organic compounds involved in cellular fatty acid synthesis. Although pks enzymes are present in various microorganisms, such as bacteria, fungi, plants, and specific marine invertebrates, contributing to the biosynthesis, certain *E. coli* strains carry a biosynthetic gene cluster (BGC) known as pks that encodes colibactin [\[85\]](#page-20-0).

Ordinarily, pks enzymes enable the repetitive condensation of building blocks such as acetyl-CoA and malonyl-CoA to generate a linear polyketide chain. This chain undergoes structural modifications such as cyclization, reduction, and oxidation [\[86\]](#page-20-1).

Three different types are described according to the structural and mechanistic attributes regarding various pks enzymes. pkss of type I are characterized by multiple catalytic domains within a single polypeptide chain, facilitating a specific step in polyketide chain elongation and modification [\[87\]](#page-20-2).

pkss of type II are characterized by separate, monofunctional enzymes catalyzing a single reaction, transferring intermediates from one enzyme to another, all ranged along a biosynthetic pathway [\[88\]](#page-20-3).

pkss of type III are characterized by their small size and homodimeric structures, with iterative condensation of the basic starter units for generating polyketides [\[89\]](#page-20-4).

The genomic island of colibactin comprises 20 open reading frames (ORFs), with 8 coding for putative pks, non-ribosomal peptide synthetases, and their hybrid forms. Prior to the discovery of this island, the only known non-ribosomal peptide and polyketide/nonribosomal peptide hybrids in Enterobacteriaceae were the iron chelators enterobactin and yersiniabactin, respectively [\[90,](#page-20-5)[91\]](#page-20-6). In contrast to these iron chelators, the synthesized hybrid non-ribosomal peptide-polyketide colibactin induces a cytopathic effect on eukaryotic cells in vitro. Cocultivation of colibactin island-positive bacteria with eukaryotic cells leads to the induction of DNA double-strand breaks, causing cells to arrest in the G2 phase of the cell cycle and exhibit megalocytosis and cell death [\[16\]](#page-17-8). These effects resemble those of the cyclomodulin cytolethal-distending toxin, although the biological function of colibactin in vivo remains unknown.

The pks genomic island includes 19 genes that code for the complex enzymatic machinery responsible for the synthesis of colibactin (Table [3,](#page-8-0) Figure [2\)](#page-9-0). It includes 54 kb of a chromosomal region located in the asnW tRNA locus, a site of DNA insertion and exchange [\[36](#page-18-2)[,92\]](#page-20-7).

Currently, 16 of them are known and essential for obtaining a colibactin effect. They include polyketide synthases (pkss) such as clbC, clbI, and clbO, non-ribosomal peptide synthases (NRPSs) such as clbH, clbJ, and clbN, and non-ribosomal polyketide-peptide synthases (pksNRPSs) such as clbB and clbK.



<span id="page-8-0"></span>**Table 3.** Functions of colibactin-associated genes.

The pks enzyme would participate in the maturation of the compound in the periplasmic compartment [\[96\]](#page-20-11).

NRPSs and pkss are very large synthases (megasynthases) composed of several subdomains and are categorized into three types on their enzymatic activity. These activities include thiolation domains (T, thiolation; ACP, Acyl Carrier Protein; PCP, Peptide Carrier Protein) where a thioester bond is formed between the amino acid and the mega synthase; acyltransferase domains for pkss; and adenylation domains for NRPSs. These bonds facilitate the attachment of the thiolation domain. Additionally, condensation domains (ketoacyl synthase on the pks; condensation for NRPS) create a peptide bond between two monomers and condense the added monomer onto the elongating chain [\[97\]](#page-20-12). Although the complete structure of colibactin is still unknown, several studies published in recent years have identified numerous synthetic intermediates [\[98](#page-20-13)[,99\]](#page-20-14).

First, the biosynthesis of colibactin requires the activation of pks/NRPS megasynthases (non-ribosomal peptides) by phosphopantetheinyl transferase ClbA. Once activated, megasynthases recruit their specific monomers. The first enzyme involved in the synthesis is ClbN, whose substrate is asparagine. The assembly then proceeds with the successful intervention of the ClbB-ClbC-ClbH-ClbI-ClbJ-ClbK enzymes, which utilize various common substrates such as malonyl-CoA, alanine, serine, glycine, cysteine or alternative, unusual substrates such as aminocyclopropane-carboxylic acid to yield the toxin in its pre-colibactin form. Inactive pre-colibactin is exported into the periplasm via ClbM, which acts as an efflux pump [\[100\]](#page-20-15). ClbM is inserted into the inner membrane. The pre-colibactin is then hydrolyzed, resulting in the separation of the cleavage product, or N-myristoyl-D-

asparagine, and the active colibactin (ClbP), which is anchored to the inner membrane and possesses D-aminopeptidase activity [\[101\]](#page-20-16). The inactive pre-colibactin (Figure [3\)](#page-11-0) could be a protective mechanism for the bacterium against the genotoxic compounds it produces. In 2016, an alternative protective mechanism was described after the ClbS protein was identified. The latter may protect the colibactin-producing bacterium by sequestration or modification of the colibactin molecules present in the cytoplasm [\[102\]](#page-20-17).

<span id="page-9-0"></span>

**Figure 2.** Organization of the pks island. pks island encodes the genes required for the synthesis of **Figure 2.** Organization of the pks island. pks island encodes the genes required for the synthesis of colibactin. Genes coding for pks (red), non-ribosomal peptide synthase genes (green) and the two colibactin. Genes coding for pks (red), non-ribosomal peptide synthase genes (green) and the two fusion genes (blue) are shown. They are in connection with the regulator clbR and the integrase (int) (int) (black). Protein functions for ClbA, ClbM, ClbP and ClbS are indicated. Polyphosphate kinase  $(PPK)$  activity is essential for ClbB function and colibactin metabolism. Mesalamine, a medication for ulcerative colitis, reduces PPK activity and colibactin production. (Modified figure based on Nougayrède et al.'s (2006) [\[16\]](#page-17-8), and Faïs et al.'s (2018) illustrations [\[96\]](#page-20-11)). fusion genes (blue) are shown. They are in connection with the regulator clbR and the integrase

Multiple studies have shown that pks gene expression is influenced by factors such as inflammation [\[103,](#page-20-18)[104\]](#page-20-19), iron availability [\[105,](#page-20-20)[106\]](#page-20-21), microbial metabolites [\[107\]](#page-20-22), oxygen availability [\[108\]](#page-20-23), and dietary oligosaccharides [\[109\]](#page-20-24).

Epidemiological evidence shows a higher prevalence of pks+ *E. coli* in CRC patients compared to those with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) or healthy controls [\[19,](#page-17-11)110,111].

inversion domains (CDO, CDTI, CDC, CDIV, And CDO) have been shown to be activated during tumor development in an inflammation-dependent manner, suggesting that various colibactin syntheses intervene in the microenvironment during CRC develop-ment. In the context of chronic muantmation such as titerative contis, mesalamine and<br>anti-inflammatory medicine reduces PPK activity and colibactin production [\[108\]](#page-20-23). One could speculate that reducing mucosal inflammation is a way to decrease the cancer risk through colibactin diminution in eukaryotic host cells [\[18](#page-17-10)[,83\]](#page-19-24). Five pks islet genes (ClbG, ClbH, ClbL, ClbM, and ClbS) have been shown to be ment. In the context of chronic inflammation such as ulcerative colitis, mesalamine and

# 3.5.2. Colibactin-Producing *E. coli* and CRC Mutations

A recent study by Pleguezuelos-Manzano et al. utilized human intestinal organoids<br>A recent study by Pleguezuelos-Manzano et al. utilized human intestinal organoids Chronic exposure of human organoids to pks+ *E. coli* but not clbQ-deficient *E. coli* (which to demonstrate that pks+ *E. coli* induce a mutational signature associated with CRC [\[112\]](#page-20-27).

cannot synthesize colibactin) resulted in unique transcriptional signatures characterized by single-base substitutions (SBSs) and insertion-deletions (IDs) within AT-rich DNA motifs. Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) revealed a distinct pattern of somatic mutations, which includes thymine insertions at thymine homopolymers and increased thymine to other base substitutions, particularly in adenine-rich regions, consistent with colibactin's mutagenic activity [\[83\]](#page-19-24).

These mutagenic signatures are present in approximately 5% of metastatic tumors, primarily from CRC-derived primary sites [\[112\]](#page-20-27).

Notably, this signature was found in 112 known CRC driver mutations, with APC (adenomatous polyposis coli) showing the highest number of matching mutations. Additional studies have also identified colibactin-specific mutations in large surveys of CRC genomes and in genes related to p53 signaling. Colibactin-related mutations were also found in healthy human colon biopsies, suggesting that early-life exposure to colibactin might increase the CRC risk later [\[113\]](#page-21-0). Approximately 20% of healthy individuals harbor pks+ *E. coli*, raising questions about why only some develop CRC [\[18,](#page-17-10)[114\]](#page-21-1).

Systematic studies have shown that 14 out of the 19 genes encoded by the pks island are required for its genotoxic effects [\[82\]](#page-19-23). While the colibactin biosynthesis pathway is well-characterized and pks gene expression is upregulated in CRC models and patients, regulatory factors remain understudied [\[17,](#page-17-9)[115\]](#page-21-2). Experiments such as profiling conditioned media from clbQ-deficient and -sufficient *E. coli* in mutation assays may identify bioactive compounds modulating colibactin's effects. Targeting pks-encoded products like ClbM and ClbP reduces genotoxicity and the tumor burden, and inhibiting polyphosphate kinase (PPK) with mesalamine reduces colibactin production.

Overall, the combination of basic, clinical, and bioinformatic approaches has revealed that colibactin directly drives CRC-associated mutations. Determining the optimal strategies for intervention with pks+ *E. coli* remains a critical question, but colibactin inhibitors represent a promising treatment avenue for CRC prevention [\[84\]](#page-19-25).

# 3.5.3. Activation of Colibactin Biosynthesis Pathway

Once the colibactin biosynthesis genes are acquired, their expression is typically regulated by environmental signals or specific transcriptional factors within the bacterial cell. Upon sensing appropriate stimuli, such as host-derived metabolites or changes in the microenvironmental conditions, the regulatory elements controlling the colibactin biosynthesis pathway are activated. This activation results in the production of colibactin and designs the pks+ phenotype [\[98\]](#page-20-13) of the strain.

### *3.6. Effects of Colibactin*

#### 3.6.1. Genotoxic Effects

Colibactin alkylates DNA, leading to the formation of interstrand crosslinks and DNA double-strand breaks, which can result in genomic instability and mutations in critical oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes. The accumulation of DNA damage promotes the initiation and progression of CRC, contributing to the transformation of normal colonic epithelial cells into malignant tumor cells [\[112](#page-20-27)[,116\]](#page-21-3).

One of the markers most commonly used to assess DNA damage and more particularly DNA double-strand breaks is the phosphorylated form of serine 139 in histone H2AX [\[117\]](#page-21-4). The γH2AX signal of infected cells increases according to the infection dose, as assessed by the "comet test" [\[118\]](#page-21-5), amplifying the toxic effect.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>

Figure 3. Role of colibactin and impact on the host. Repeated exposure of enterocytes to pks+ bacteria could result in the chronic formation of DNA double-strand breaks. Inhibitors of colibactin-activating ClbP peptidase biosynthesis block the genotoxic effects of colibactin on eukaryotic [cells](#page-21-6) [119].

# 3.6.2. Induction of Genomic Instability 3.6.2. Induction of Genomic Instability

colorectal tumors. Colibactin-induced DNA damage not only initiates carcinogenesis but also promotes genomic instability by impairing DNA repair mechanisms and increasing<br>the fraction of multiplication and 1171 and facilitation the american of accusacion tumor. are negating or manipulate overlaps pair mechanisms are enterprise or aggressive value. pks+ bacteria contribute to cancer progression by inducing genomic instability in the frequency of mutagenic events [\[117\]](#page-21-4) and facilitating the emergence of aggressive tumor

# $\frac{1}{2}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}$  a 3.6.3. Disruption of Gut Epithelial Barrier and Induction of Inflammation<br>subclub also have a strengt the interview of the out-orithelial barrier of

compromise the function of colonic epithelial cells, leading to the increased permeability of the intestinal integral and identify of bacterial toxins and antigers into the underlying tissues. This breach of the epithelial barrier allows pks+ bacteria to directly interact with immune cells and promote inflammatory responses, contributing to CRC [\[120\]](#page-21-7). pks+ bactepks+ bacteria can disrupt the integrity of the gut epithelial barrier, facilitating bacterial translocation and interaction with host cells. Colibactin-induced DNA damage may of the intestinal mucosa and leakage of bacterial toxins and antigens into the underlying

ria, through the production of colibactin and other virulence factors, can induce chronic inflammation in the colon, enhancing the risk of CRC.

Studies have shown that inflammation alters host physiology to promote cancer. By examining the gut microbiota as a target of inflammation influencing CRC progression, highthroughput sequencing revealed that inflammation alters the gut microbial composition in colitis-susceptible interleukin-10-deficient mice [\[114\]](#page-21-1). Inflammatory signaling pathways activated in response to colibactin exposure contribute to the recruitment of immune cells, release of pro-inflammatory cytokines, and generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS).

### 3.6.4. Modulation of Host Immune Responses

pks+ bacteria can modulate host immune responses in the colon, which may induce regulatory T-cell differentiation and myeloid-derived suppressor cell recruitment, further dampening the anti-tumor immune responses and facilitating tumor progression. Colibactin-induced DNA damage and inflammation may alter the function of immune cells within the gut-associated lymphoid tissue, impairing anti-tumor immune surveillance and promoting immune evasion by tumor cells.

Single colonization with a commensal *E. coli* strain causes invasive cancer in azoxymethane (AOM)-treated Il10 mice, and deletion of the genotoxic polyketide synthase (pks) island from this strain reduces tumor multiplicity and invasiveness in AOM/Il10−/− mice intestinal inflammation without change [\[114\]](#page-21-1).

Mucosal-associated pks+ *E. coli* have been found in a high percentage of patients with inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) and CRC.

### 3.6.5. Cell Cycle Effects

A study that investigated the cell cycle of cells infected with pks+ bacteria showed that cells with megalocytosis (an abnormally large cell size) also exhibit cell cycle arrest at the G2/M transition with synchronized cells in the G1/S phase, showing that infected cells stay longer in the S phase of the cycle and stop at the G2/M transition [\[16\]](#page-17-8). Colibactin-induced cell senescence is characterized by irreversible cell cycle arrest associated with significant morphological and physiological changes. Following infection by pks+ *E.coli*, senescent cells exhibit megalocytosis, lysosomal β-galactosidase activity at pH 6, the accumulation of p53 and p21 proteins involved in cell cycle arrest, as well as a decrease in SENP1 peptidase [\[115\]](#page-21-2), although senescence can have an anti- or pro-tumor role depending on the context [\[121\]](#page-21-8). It has been proposed that if the bacteria/cell ratio is high, the bacteria may exert anti-tumor activity by inducing senescence in the majority of cancer cells through cell cycle blockade, while a low ratio may induce senescence in a limited number of cancer cells; this suggests that the bacteria could have a pro-tumor paracrine activity thanks to the secretory phenotype associated with senescence [\[115\]](#page-21-2).

Colibactin has also been shown to inhibit the activation of cyclin-dependent kinase 1 (Cdk1), a protein involved in cell cycle regulation, which could lead to cell death or the formation of cells with abnormal genomic content [\[122–](#page-21-9)[124\]](#page-21-10).

### 3.6.6. β-Glucuronidase Activity

Research indicates that individuals at high risk of CRC and those diagnosed with CRC exhibit a 1.7-fold increase in β-glucuronidase activity compared to healthy counterparts [\[125\]](#page-21-11). This enzyme, β-glucuronidase, is implicated in CRC by aiding in the synthesis of carcinogenic metabolites. Normally, the liver metabolizes Dimethylhydrazine, a colon carcinogen, with small amounts of its procarcinogenic metabolite, methylazoxymethanol, excreted in the bile and released into the large intestine. However, the  $\beta$ -glucuronidase activity of *E. coli* can hydrolyze Dimethylhydrazine, releasing methylazoxymethanol in the colon [\[125\]](#page-21-11). Studies show that axenic animals treated with Dimethylhydrazine develop fewer colon tumors compared to conventional animals. Furthermore, administering a β-glucuronidase inhibitor in rats treated with Dimethylhydrazine results in a decrease in tumor formation. These findings underscore the potential of  $\beta$ -glucuronidase as a

key player in CRC development, highlighting avenues for therapeutic intervention and preventative measures. The effects of colibactin are summarized in Table [4.](#page-13-0)

<span id="page-13-0"></span>**Table 4.** Effects of colibactin.



### 3.6.7. Potential Presence of pks+ in Normal Colon Tissue of Cancer Patients

A study comparing the genomes of distant normal crypts, normal crypts adjacent to tumors, and cancerous glands from the same patients showed that pks+ *E. coli* is widespread in the normal colon of cancer patients and may be a candidate process responsible for multiple mutations in cancer-promoting genes in malignancies [\[126\]](#page-21-12).

### 3.6.8. Heterogeneity of pks+ Bacterial Localization

The localization of pks+ bacteria within colon tissues may exhibit heterogeneity among individuals and across different stages of CRC. While some patients may show a predominance of pks+ bacteria within tumor tissues, others may exhibit a more diffuse distribution, with bacteria present in both cancerous and healthy regions of the colon. Factors such as host immune responses, microbial interactions, and environmental influences may influence the spatial distribution of pks+ bacteria within colon tissues.

The results of a study showed that pks+ *E. coli* is more prevalent in stage 0–1 tumor tissue compared to normal mucosal tissue or stage II–IV tumor tissue. A high abundance of pks+ *E. coli* in tumor tissue was significantly associated with a shallower tumor depth and absence of lymph node metastasis in multivariate logistic analyses. The pks+ *E. coli*-low and -negative groups were significantly associated with shorter CRC-specific survival and recurrence-free survival compared to the pks+ *E. coli*-high group [\[127\]](#page-21-13).

However, to date, there are no studies on the causal relationship between pks+ signatures and driver mutations and the linear relationship between pks+ and incidence of CRC is largely unclear [\[126\]](#page-21-12).

### **4. Bacteria Associated with pks+**

The identification of bacterial species associated with pks+ production involves comprehensive microbial profiling and genetic analysis to determine the presence of pks gene clusters and colibactin biosynthesis pathways.

While not all *E. coli* strains carry the pks island, those that do have been implicated in CRC and are of particular interest in studies investigating the role of pks+ bacteria in cancer initiation and progression [\[18\]](#page-17-10). Other bacterial species have been shown pks production, including certain strains of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Enterobacter aerogenes* and *Citrobacter koseri* [\[128\]](#page-21-14), suggesting that these bacteria in the gut microbiota may exert similar effects in colon carcinogenesis.

#### *Colibactin Induces Prophages*

The effect of colibactin on the induction of prophages in neighboring bacteria has been reported in co-culture procedures and genetic analyses, including various species of bacteria in the human gut. Consequently, a protein called ClbS and several ClbS-like protein-related bacteria have been characterized as colibactin-induced DNA damage and prophage [\[129\]](#page-21-15).

### **5. Prevention and Treatment Strategies**

While pks+ *E. coli* is associated with CRC, its exact role in CRC prevention is not well defined. However, maintaining a balanced gut microbiota through dietary interventions, probiotics, or other means may indirectly reduce the abundance or activity of pks+ *E. coli* and potentially lower the CRC risk, enabling novel therapeutics targets [\[108](#page-20-23)[,119\]](#page-21-6).

### *5.1. Inhibiting pks Biosynthesis*

The aerobic respiration control (ArcA) positively regulates colibactin production and the genotoxicity of pks+ *E. coli* in response to oxygen availability. Thus, exposure to oxygen can inhibit colibactin synthesis [\[130\]](#page-21-16). One would speculate that modulating the oxygen levels in the gut may offer a potential tool for preventing or treating colon cancer associated with colibactin-producing bacteria [\[130\]](#page-21-16).

Alternatively, inhibitors targeting the pks machinery, such as small molecules or peptides mimicking pre-colibactin that inhibit colibactin-activating peptidase ClbP (Figure [3\)](#page-11-0), may be used to inhibit colibactin synthesis [\[119](#page-21-6)[,131](#page-21-17)[,132\]](#page-21-18). These peptides can be designed to target specific regions of the pks involved in catalysis, substrate binding, or protein–protein interactions, thereby disrupting pks function [\[133\]](#page-21-19).

SiRNA molecules designed to target and silence pks gene expression act by stimulating the degradation of mRNA molecules that encode pks enzymes, thereby reducing the synthesis of functional pks proteins and inhibiting their activity.

### *5.2. Microbiota Modulation*

Strategies aimed at modulating the gut microbiota composition, likely through dietary interventions, probiotics, prebiotics, and fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT), may help to reduce the proliferation of pks+ bacteria [\[134](#page-21-20)[,135\]](#page-21-21). Alternatively, in high-risk individuals or patients with CRC predisposition syndromes and presence of pks+ bacteria, antibiotics may be used.

### *5.3. Phage Therapy*

Pre-clinical studies suggest that phage therapy targeting pks+ *E. coli* can reduce their oncogenic effects in vivo [\[136\]](#page-21-22). This approach might be applicable to humans, as earlyphase clinical trials have shown the feasibility of using species-specific phage cocktails to target pathogens like Klebsiella pneumoniae in healthy patients [\[137\]](#page-21-23).

### *5.4. Immunomodulator Therapies*

Studies have demonstrated that *E. coli* strains can influence chemotherapy efficacy and resistance in CRC [\[138\]](#page-21-24). For instance, *E. coli Nissle 1917* expression of a long isoform of the enzyme cytidine deaminase (CDDL) conferred gemcitabine resistance in xenografts, while CDDL-deficient *E. coli* did not affect oxaliplatin resistance [\[139\]](#page-22-0). This resistance was attributed to bacterial metabolism of the drug, leading to its depletion. Additionally, pks+ *E. coli* have been found to translocate to mesenteric lymph nodes, reducing the cytotoxic T-cell abundance and inhibiting immune cell invasion in tumor tissue margins, thereby hindering the efficacy of anti-PD-1 treatment in CRC xenografts. These findings underscore the potential of specific bacterial strains, such as *E. coli*, to modulate the chemotherapy response and resistance in CRC patients.

CRC tumor tissues with colibactin-producing *E. coli* are associated with a decrease in tumor-infiltrating T lymphocytes (CD3 + T-cells) [\[140\]](#page-22-1) and colibactin-producing *E. coli* was shown to decrease CD3+ and CD8+ T-cells and increase colonic inflammation in mice. A significant decrease in anti-tumor T-cells was observed in the mesenteric lymph nodes (MLN) of colibactin-producing *E. coli*-infected mice compared to the control and colibactinproducing *E.coli* infection reduces the efficacy of anti-mouse PD-1 immunotherapy in the MC38 tumor model [\[140\]](#page-22-1), suggesting colibactin-producing *E. coli* can disrupt the antitumor T-cell response, leading to tumor resistance to immunotherapy [\[140\]](#page-22-1). Therefore, colibactin-producing *E. coli* could be a new biomarker that predicts the anti-PD-1 response in CRC, and it might be of interest to clear gut lumen from pks+ *E. coli* prior to providing immunotherapy in CRC patients.

*E. coli* vaccines against invasive diseases are a new way of enhancing the host immune response. In a study of the extraintestinal pathogenic *Escherichia coli* (ExPEC) utilizing pan-virulosome analysis of over 20,000 sequenced *E. coli* strains, the secreted cytolysin  $\alpha$ -hemolysin (HlyA) was identified as a high-priority target for vaccine studies. Using the inactive purified form of HlyA via an autologous host secretion system has been shown to provide protection against several types of ExPEC infections, significantly reducing the bacterial load in many cases. The combination of an autotransporter (SinH) with HlyA was significantly effective and boosted the protection against a mixture of 10 highly virulent sequence variants and strains. These suggest bacterial products might be used as adjuvant therapy [\[141\]](#page-22-2).

# **6. Screening and Early Detection**

CRC diagnosis primarily relies on early detection through routine colonoscopy for asymptomatic patients and clinical presentation for symptomatic patients. Since CRC is often linked to changes in specific bacterial genera or species, diagnostic models incorporating microbial profiles, metabolites, or bacterial gene signatures could provide valuable tools for diagnosis or mass screening without invasive procedures [\[142\]](#page-22-3).

### *6.1. Colorectal Cancer Screening*

Routine screening for CRC and precancerous lesions remains essential for early detection and timely intervention. Screening modalities such as colonoscopy, fecal occult blood testing, and stool DNA testing can help identify individuals at increased risk of CRC, allowing for early detection of pks+ bacteria-associated malignancies. The choice of accurate methods (e.g., PCR, immunohistochemistry) to identify and quantify pks+ *E. coli* is the main challenge and such methods need to be validated in stool and biopsy samples, and the related costs need to be compared to existing CRC screening methods such as colonoscopy and fecal occult blood immunochemical tests.

### *6.2. Genetic Counseling and Testing*

Genetic counseling involves evaluating a person's personal and family medical history to determine the possibility of an inherited disease, such as FAP or Lynch syndrome, that is associated with an increased risk of developing CRC.

Now, in individuals with a family history of CRC syndromes associated with pks+ bacterial infections, genetic consulting should be integrated. At least in familial adenomatous polyposis (FAP) and in Lynch syndrome, identification of pks+ genetic disorders may be relevant [\[36,](#page-18-2)[143\]](#page-22-4). Testing may include targeted analysis of genes known to be involved in these syndromes or a more extensive genomic analysis to identify potential mutations.

# *6.3. Evolution of Precision Medicine in CRC*

Molecular alterations fostering the progression of CRC are acquired early in the carcinogenesis process, and there is inter-connectivity among genomic drivers (gene mutations and chromosomal instability), transcriptomic subtypes (microsatellite instability immune, canonical, metabolic or mesenchymal) and immune signatures (highly immunogenic, poorly immunogenic or inflamed and immune tolerant).

Emerging positive predictive markers for targeted therapies include infrequent genomic events, such as BRAFV600E mutations, ERBB2 amplifications, anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) and neurotrophic receptor tyrosine kinase (NTRK) fusions and alterations in upstream nodes of the WNT pathway, such as ring finger protein 43 (RNF43), zinc and ring finger 3 (ZNRF3) and R-spondin (RSPO) genes. For immune checkpoint inhibitors, promising biomarkers include microsatellite instability and DNA polymerase-ε (POLE) mutations. Biomarker–drug co-development has evolved to accommodate a "multi-molecular, multidrug" perspective of precision medicine. Combination therapies to halt tumor evolution and tackle minimal residual disease is in perspective.

# **7. Conclusions**

The discovery of pks enzymes and their role in synthesizing various compounds has led to significant advancements in understanding microbial pathogenesis and its implications for human health. Among the compounds produced by pks, colibactin stands out due to its genotoxic effects and association with CRC. Colibactin, encoded by the pks gene, has been identified as a cyclomodulin that induces DNA damage, disrupts the eukaryotic cell cycle, and contributes to the initiation and progression of CRC. Strategies aimed at preventing pks+ occurrence, inhibiting colibactin production, enhancing host immune responses, promoting early detection, and advancing clinical management are crucial for mitigating the risk of CRC associated with pks+ bacteria. Developing effective interventions to improve the outcomes for pks+ *E. coli*-harboring CRC patients are in progress.

**Funding:** EPIMECHA (Grant 5 R01 CA264048-03) and MicroTEND study (GTE French Gastrointestinal endocrine tumours). National Institutes of Health: 1C06MH264048-20A5.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

## **References**

- <span id="page-16-0"></span>1. Rawla, P.; Sunkara, T.; Barsouk, A. Epidemiology of Colorectal Cancer: Incidence, Mortality, Survival, and Risk Factors. *Prz. Gastroenterol.* **2019**, *14*, 89–103. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.5114/pg.2018.81072)
- <span id="page-16-1"></span>2. Sobhani, I.; Rotkopf, H.; Khazaie, K. Bacteria-Related Changes in Host DNA Methylation and the Risk for CRC. *Gut Microbes* **2020**, *12*, 1800898. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2020.1800898) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32931352)
- <span id="page-16-2"></span>3. Eckburg, P.B.; Bik, E.M.; Bernstein, C.N.; Purdom, E.; Dethlefsen, L.; Sargent, M.; Gill, S.R.; Nelson, K.E.; Relman, D.A. Diversity of the Human Intestinal Microbial Flora. *Science* **2005**, *308*, 1635–1638. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1110591) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15831718)
- <span id="page-16-3"></span>4. Kawamoto, S.; Maruya, M.; Kato, L.M.; Suda, W.; Atarashi, K.; Doi, Y.; Tsutsui, Y.; Qin, H.; Honda, K.; Okada, T.; et al. Foxp3(+) T Cells Regulate Immunoglobulin a Selection and Facilitate Diversification of Bacterial Species Responsible for Immune Homeostasis. *Immunity* **2014**, *41*, 152–165. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.immuni.2014.05.016)
- <span id="page-16-4"></span>5. Vallianou, N.G.; Stratigou, T.; Tsagarakis, S. Microbiome and Diabetes: Where Are We Now? *Diabetes Res. Clin. Pract.* **2018**, *146*, 111–118. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diabres.2018.10.008)
- <span id="page-16-5"></span>6. Willing, B.; Halfvarson, J.; Dicksved, J.; Rosenquist, M.; Järnerot, G.; Engstrand, L.; Tysk, C.; Jansson, J.K. Twin Studies Reveal Specific Imbalances in the Mucosa-Associated Microbiota of Patients with Ileal Crohn's Disease. *Inflamm. Bowel Dis.* **2009**, *15*, 653–660. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/ibd.20783)
- <span id="page-16-6"></span>7. Jiang, C.; Li, G.; Huang, P.; Liu, Z.; Zhao, B. The Gut Microbiota and Alzheimer's Disease. *J. Alzheimer's Dis.* **2017**, *58*, 1–15. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-161141)
- <span id="page-17-0"></span>8. Sun, M.-F.; Shen, Y.-Q. Dysbiosis of Gut Microbiota and Microbial Metabolites in Parkinson's Disease. *Ageing Res. Rev.* **2018**, *45*, 53–61. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.arr.2018.04.004) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29705121)
- <span id="page-17-1"></span>9. Sobhani, I.; Tap, J.; Roudot-Thoraval, F.; Roperch, J.P.; Letulle, S.; Langella, P.; Corthier, G.; Tran Van Nhieu, J.; Furet, J.P. Microbial Dysbiosis in Colorectal Cancer (CRC) Patients. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6*, e16393. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0016393)
- <span id="page-17-2"></span>10. Gordon, H.A.; Pesti, L. The Gnotobiotic Animal as a Tool in the Study of Host Microbial Relationships. *Bacteriol. Rev.* **1971**, *35*, 390–429. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/br.35.4.390-429.1971)
- <span id="page-17-3"></span>11. Sobhani, I.; Bergsten, E.; Couffin, S.; Amiot, A.; Nebbad, B.; Barau, C.; de'Angelis, N.; Rabot, S.; Canoui-Poitrine, F.; Mestivier, D.; et al. Colorectal Cancer-Associated Microbiota Contributes to Oncogenic Epigenetic Signatures. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2019**, *116*, 24285–24295. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1912129116) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31712445)
- <span id="page-17-4"></span>12. Yatsunenko, T.; Rey, F.E.; Manary, M.J.; Trehan, I.; Dominguez-Bello, M.G.; Contreras, M.; Magris, M.; Hidalgo, G.; Baldassano, R.N.; Anokhin, A.P.; et al. Human Gut Microbiome Viewed across Age and Geography. *Nature* **2012**, *486*, 222–227. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11053) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22699611)
- <span id="page-17-5"></span>13. Tlaskalová-Hogenová, H.; Stepánková, R.; Hudcovic, T.; Tucková, L.; Cukrowska, B.; Lodinová-Zádníková, R.; Kozáková, H.; Rossmann, P.; Bártová, J.; Sokol, D.; et al. Commensal Bacteria (Normal Microflora), Mucosal Immunity and Chronic Inflammatory and Autoimmune Diseases. *Immunol. Lett.* **2004**, *93*, 97–108. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.imlet.2004.02.005) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15158604)
- <span id="page-17-6"></span>14. Sobhani, I.; Amiot, A.; Le Baleur, Y.; Levy, M.; Auriault, M.-L.; Van Nhieu, J.T.; Delchier, J.C. Microbial Dysbiosis and Colon Carcinogenesis: Could Colon Cancer Be Considered a Bacteria-Related Disease? *Ther. Adv. Gastroenterol.* **2013**, *6*, 215–229. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1177/1756283X12473674) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23634186)
- <span id="page-17-7"></span>15. Sadeghi, M.; Mestivier, D.; Carbonnelle, E.; Benamouzig, R.; Khazaie, K.; Sobhani, I. Loss of Symbiotic and Increase of Virulent Bacteria through Microbial Networks in Lynch Syndrome Colon Carcinogenesis. *Front. Oncol.* **2024**, *13*, 1313735. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2023.1313735) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38375206)
- <span id="page-17-8"></span>16. Nougayrède, J.-P.; Homburg, S.; Taieb, F.; Boury, M.; Brzuszkiewicz, E.; Gottschalk, G.; Buchrieser, C.; Hacker, J.; Dobrindt, U.; Oswald, E. *Escherichia Coli* Induces DNA Double-Strand Breaks in Eukaryotic Cells. *Science* **2006**, *313*, 848–851. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1127059) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16902142)
- <span id="page-17-9"></span>17. Bossuet-Greif, N.; Vignard, J.; Taieb, F.; Mirey, G.; Dubois, D.; Petit, C.; Oswald, E.; Nougayrède, J.-P. The Colibactin Genotoxin Generates DNA Interstrand Cross-Links in Infected Cells. *mBio* **2018**, *9*, e02393-17. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/mBio.02393-17) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29559578)
- <span id="page-17-10"></span>18. Buc, E.; Dubois, D.; Sauvanet, P.; Raisch, J.; Delmas, J.; Darfeuille-Michaud, A.; Pezet, D.; Bonnet, R. High Prevalence of Mucosa-Associated *E. Coli* Producing Cyclomodulin and Genotoxin in Colon Cancer. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e56964. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056964) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23457644)
- <span id="page-17-11"></span>19. Arthur, J.C.; Perez-Chanona, E.; Mühlbauer, M.; Tomkovich, S.; Uronis, J.M.; Fan, T.-J.; Campbell, B.J.; Abujamel, T.; Dogan, B.; Rogers, A.B.; et al. Intestinal Inflammation Targets Cancer-Inducing Activity of the Microbiota. *Science* **2012**, *338*, 120–123. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1224820)
- <span id="page-17-12"></span>20. Kaper, J.B.; Nataro, J.P.; Mobley, H.L. Pathogenic *Escherichia Coli*. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2004**, *2*, 123–140. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro818)
- <span id="page-17-13"></span>21. Penders, J.; Thijs, C.; Vink, C.; Stelma, F.F.; Snijders, B.; Kummeling, I.; van den Brandt, P.A.; Stobberingh, E.E. Factors Influencing the Composition of the Intestinal Microbiota in Early Infancy. *Pediatrics* **2006**, *118*, 511–521. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1542/peds.2005-2824) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16882802)
- <span id="page-17-14"></span>22. Massot, M.; Picard, B.; Denamur, E. Diversité des populations d'Escherichia coli et leurs variations au cours du temps au sein du microbiote intestinal. *Rev. Francoph. Lab.* **2016**, *2016*, 35–43. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1773-035X(16)30326-4)
- <span id="page-17-15"></span>23. Darfeuille-Michaud, A. Adherent-Invasive *Escherichia Coli*: A Putative New *E. Coli* Pathotype Associated with Crohn's Disease. *Int. J. Med. Microbiol.* **2002**, *292*, 185–193. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1078/1438-4221-00201) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12398209)
- <span id="page-17-16"></span>24. Nataro, J.P.; Kaper, J.B. Diarrheagenic *Escherichia coli*. *Clin. Microbiol. Rev.* **1998**, *11*, 142–201. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.11.1.142) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9457432)
- <span id="page-17-17"></span>25. Kenny, B.; Ellis, S.; Leard, A.D.; Warawa, J.; Mellor, H.; Jepson, M.A. Co-Ordinate Regulation of Distinct Host Cell Signalling Pathways by Multifunctional Enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* Effector Molecules. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2002**, *44*, 1095–1107. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2002.02952.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12046591)
- <span id="page-17-18"></span>26. Kudva, I.T.; Hatfield, P.G.; Hovde, C.J. Characterization of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7 and Other Shiga Toxin-Producing *E. coli* Serotypes Isolated from Sheep. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **1997**, *35*, 892–899. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.35.4.892-899.1997)
- <span id="page-17-19"></span>27. Sears, C.L.; Kaper, J.B. Enteric Bacterial Toxins: Mechanisms of Action and Linkage to Intestinal Secretion. *Microbiol. Rev.* **1996**, *60*, 167–215. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/mr.60.1.167-215.1996) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/8852900)
- <span id="page-17-20"></span>28. Nataro, J.P.; Steiner, T.; Guerrant, R.L. Enteroaggregative *Escherichia coli*. *Emerg. Infect. Dis.* **1998**, *4*, 251–261. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid0402.980212)
- 29. Sweeney, N.J.; Klemm, P.; McCormick, B.A.; Moller-Nielsen, E.; Utley, M.; Schembri, M.A.; Laux, D.C.; Cohen, P.S. The *Escherichia coli* K-12 gntP Gene Allows *E. coli* F-18 to Occupy a Distinct Nutritional Niche in the Streptomycin-Treated Mouse Large Intestine. *Infect. Immun.* **1996**, *64*, 3497–3503. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.64.9.3497-3503.1996)
- <span id="page-17-21"></span>30. Vial, P.A.; Robins-Browne, R.; Lior, H.; Prado, V.; Kaper, J.B.; Nataro, J.P.; Maneval, D.; Elsayed, A.; Levine, M.M. Characterization of Enteroadherent-Aggregative *Escherichia coli*, a Putative Agent of Diarrheal Disease. *J. Infect. Dis.* **1988**, *158*, 70–79. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/158.1.70)
- <span id="page-17-22"></span>31. Berben, T.; Sorokin, D.Y.; Ivanova, N.; Pati, A.; Kyrpides, N.; Goodwin, L.A.; Woyke, T.; Muyzer, G. Complete Genome Sequence of Thioalkalivibrio Paradoxus Type Strain ARh 1(T), an Obligately Chemolithoautotrophic Haloalkaliphilic Sulfur-Oxidizing Bacterium Isolated from a Kenyan Soda Lake. *Stand. Genom. Sci.* **2015**, *10*, 105. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40793-015-0097-7) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26594306)
- <span id="page-17-23"></span>32. Pupo, G.M.; Lan, R.; Reeves, P.R. Multiple Independent Origins of Shigella Clones of *Escherichia Coli* and Convergent Evolution of Many of Their Characteristics. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2000**, *97*, 10567–10572. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.180094797) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10954745)
- <span id="page-18-0"></span>33. Scaletsky, I.C.A.; Fabbricotti, S.H.; Carvalho, R.L.B.; Nunes, C.R.; Maranhão, H.S.; Morais, M.B.; Fagundes-Neto, U. Diffusely Adherent *Escherichia Coli* as a Cause of Acute Diarrhea in Young Children in Northeast Brazil: A Case-Control Study. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **2002**, *40*, 645–648. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.40.2.645-648.2002) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11825986)
- <span id="page-18-1"></span>34. Bilge, S.S.; Clausen, C.R.; Lau, W.; Moseley, S.L. Molecular Characterization of a Fimbrial Adhesin, F1845, Mediating Diffuse Adherence of Diarrhea-Associated *Escherichia coli* to HEp-2 Cells. *J. Bacteriol.* **1989**, *171*, 4281–4289. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.171.8.4281-4289.1989) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/2568985)
- 35. Johnson, J.R.; Stell, A.L. Extended Virulence Genotypes of *Escherichia coli* Strains from Patients with Urosepsis in Relation to Phylogeny and Host Compromise. *J. Infect. Dis.* **2000**, *181*, 261–272. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1086/315217) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/10608775)
- <span id="page-18-2"></span>36. Putze, J.; Hennequin, C.; Nougayrède, J.-P.; Zhang, W.; Homburg, S.; Karch, H.; Bringer, M.-A.; Fayolle, C.; Carniel, E.; Rabsch, W.; et al. Genetic Structure and Distribution of the Colibactin Genomic Island among Members of the Family Enterobacteriaceae. *Infect. Immun.* **2009**, *77*, 4696–4703. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00522-09) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19720753)
- <span id="page-18-3"></span>37. Baquero, F.; Martínez, J.-L.; Cantón, R. Antibiotics and Antibiotic Resistance in Water Environments. *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.* **2008**, *19*, 260–265. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2008.05.006)
- <span id="page-18-4"></span>38. Johnson, C.H.; Dejea, C.M.; Edler, D.; Hoang, L.T.; Santidrian, A.F.; Felding, B.H.; Ivanisevic, J.; Cho, K.; Wick, E.C.; Hechenbleikner, E.M.; et al. Metabolism Links Bacterial Biofilms and Colon Carcinogenesis. *Cell Metab.* **2015**, *21*, 891–897. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cmet.2015.04.011) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25959674)
- <span id="page-18-5"></span>39. Dejea, C.M.; Sears, C.L. Do Biofilms Confer a Pro-Carcinogenic State? *Gut Microbes* **2016**, *7*, 54–57. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2015.1121363) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/26939852)
- <span id="page-18-6"></span>40. Looft, T.; Johnson, T.A.; Allen, H.K.; Bayles, D.O.; Alt, D.P.; Stedtfeld, R.D.; Sul, W.J.; Stedtfeld, T.M.; Chai, B.; Cole, J.R.; et al. In-Feed Antibiotic Effects on the Swine Intestinal Microbiome. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2012**, *109*, 1691–1696. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1120238109)
- <span id="page-18-7"></span>41. Tenaillon, O.; Skurnik, D.; Picard, B.; Denamur, E. The Population Genetics of Commensal *Escherichia coli*. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2010**, *8*, 207–217. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2298) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20157339)
- <span id="page-18-8"></span>42. Hudault, S.; Guignot, J.; Servin, A.L. *Escherichia Coli* Strains Colonising the Gastrointestinal Tract Protect Germfree Mice against Salmonella Typhimurium Infection. *Gut* **2001**, *49*, 47–55. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1136/gut.49.1.47) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11413110)
- <span id="page-18-9"></span>43. Wassenaar, T.M.E. *E. Coli* and Colorectal Cancer: A Complex Relationship That Deserves a Critical Mindset. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* **2018**, *44*, 619–632. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/1040841X.2018.1481013) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29909724)
- <span id="page-18-10"></span>44. Swidsinski, A.; Khilkin, M.; Kerjaschki, D.; Schreiber, S.; Ortner, M.; Weber, J.; Lochs, H. Association between Intraepithelial *Escherichia Coli* and Colorectal Cancer. *Gastroenterology* **1998**, *115*, 281–286. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0016-5085(98)70194-5) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9679033)
- <span id="page-18-11"></span>45. Martin, H.M.; Campbell, B.J.; Hart, C.A.; Mpofu, C.; Nayar, M.; Singh, R.; Englyst, H.; Williams, H.F.; Rhodes, J.M. Enhanced *Escherichia Coli* Adherence and Invasion in Crohn's Disease and Colon Cancer. *Gastroenterology* **2004**, *127*, 80–93. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1053/j.gastro.2004.03.054)
- <span id="page-18-12"></span>46. Nougayrède, J.-P.; Taieb, F.; De Rycke, J.; Oswald, E. Cyclomodulins: Bacterial Effectors That Modulate the Eukaryotic Cell Cycle. *Trends Microbiol.* **2005**, *13*, 103–110. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2005.01.002) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15737728)
- <span id="page-18-13"></span>47. Fabbri, A.; Travaglione, S.; Ballan, G.; Loizzo, S.; Fiorentini, C. The Cytotoxic Necrotizing Factor 1 from *E. Coli*: A Janus Toxin Playing with Cancer Regulators. *Toxins* **2013**, *5*, 1462–1474. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins5081462)
- <span id="page-18-14"></span>48. Marchès, O.; Ledger, T.N.; Boury, M.; Ohara, M.; Tu, X.; Goffaux, F.; Mainil, J.; Rosenshine, I.; Sugai, M.; De Rycke, J.; et al. Enteropathogenic and Enterohaemorrhagic *Escherichia coli* Deliver a Novel Effector Called Cif, Which Blocks Cell Cycle G2/M Transition. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2003**, *50*, 1553–1567. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.2003.03821.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14651638)
- <span id="page-18-15"></span>49. Maddocks, O.D.K.; Short, A.J.; Donnenberg, M.S.; Bader, S.; Harrison, D.J. Attaching and Effacing *Escherichia coli* Downregulate DNA Mismatch Repair Protein in Vitro and Are Associated with Colorectal Adenocarcinomas in Humans. *PLoS ONE* **2009**, *4*, e5517. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0005517)
- <span id="page-18-16"></span>50. Raisch, J. Piste Infectieuse à Escherichia coli Toxinogènes Dans Le Cancer Colorectal. Available online: [https://www.](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Piste-infectieuse-%C3%A0-Escherichia-coli-toxinog%C3%A8nes-le-Raisch/7e546ff34cf670e913a8613ddc4975cdabefb02c) [semanticscholar.org/paper/Piste-infectieuse-%C3%A0-Escherichia-coli-toxinog%C3%A8nes-le-Raisch/7e546ff34cf670e913a8](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Piste-infectieuse-%C3%A0-Escherichia-coli-toxinog%C3%A8nes-le-Raisch/7e546ff34cf670e913a8613ddc4975cdabefb02c) [613ddc4975cdabefb02c](https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/Piste-infectieuse-%C3%A0-Escherichia-coli-toxinog%C3%A8nes-le-Raisch/7e546ff34cf670e913a8613ddc4975cdabefb02c) (accessed on 16 May 2022).
- <span id="page-18-17"></span>51. Lara-Tejero, M.; Galán, J.E. CdtA, CdtB, and CdtC Form a Tripartite Complex That Is Required for Cytolethal Distending Toxin Activity. *Infect. Immun.* **2001**, *69*, 4358–4365. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.69.7.4358-4365.2001)
- <span id="page-18-18"></span>52. Churin, Y.; Al-Ghoul, L.; Kepp, O.; Meyer, T.F.; Birchmeier, W.; Naumann, M. Helicobacter Pylori CagA Protein Targets the C-Met Receptor and Enhances the Motogenic Response. *J. Cell Biol.* **2003**, *161*, 249–255. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1083/jcb.200208039)
- <span id="page-18-19"></span>53. Knust, Z.; Schmidt, G. Cytotoxic Necrotizing Factors (CNFs)-A Growing Toxin Family. *Toxins* **2010**, *2*, 116–127. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins2010116) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22069550)
- <span id="page-18-20"></span>54. Falzano, L.; Quaranta, M.G.; Travaglione, S.; Filippini, P.; Fabbri, A.; Viora, M.; Donelli, G.; Fiorentini, C. Cytotoxic Necrotizing Factor 1 Enhances Reactive Oxygen Species-Dependent Transcription and Secretion of Proinflammatory Cytokines in Human Uroepithelial Cells. *Infect. Immun.* **2003**, *71*, 4178–4181. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.71.7.4178-4181.2003) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12819113)
- <span id="page-18-21"></span>55. Flatau, G.; Lemichez, E.; Gauthier, M.; Chardin, P.; Paris, S.; Fiorentini, C.; Boquet, P. Toxin-Induced Activation of the G Protein P21 Rho by Deamidation of Glutamine. *Nature* **1997**, *387*, 729–733. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/42743)
- <span id="page-18-22"></span>56. Schmidt, G.; Selzer, J.; Lerm, M.; Aktories, K. The Rho-Deamidating Cytotoxic Necrotizing Factor 1 from *Escherichia Coli* Possesses Transglutaminase Activity. Cysteine 866 and Histidine 881 Are Essential for Enzyme Activity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **1998**, *273*, 13669–13674. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.273.22.13669)
- <span id="page-18-23"></span>57. Lerm, M.; Selzer, J.; Hoffmeyer, A.; Rapp, U.R.; Aktories, K.; Schmidt, G. Deamidation of Cdc42 and Rac by *Escherichia Coli* Cytotoxic Necrotizing Factor 1: Activation of c-Jun N-Terminal Kinase in HeLa Cells. *Infect. Immun.* **1999**, *67*, 496–503. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.67.2.496-503.1999) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9916051)
- <span id="page-18-24"></span>58. Malumbres, M.; Barbacid, M. RAS Oncogenes: The First 30 Years. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2003**, *3*, 459–465. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc1097) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12778136)
- <span id="page-19-0"></span>59. Thomas, W.; Ascott, Z.K.; Harmey, D.; Slice, L.W.; Rozengurt, E.; Lax, A.J. Cytotoxic Necrotizing Factor from *Escherichia Coli* Induces RhoA-Dependent Expression of the Cyclooxygenase-2 Gene. *Infect. Immun.* **2001**, *69*, 6839–6845. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.69.11.6839-6845.2001) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11598057)
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>60. Mager, D.L. Bacteria and Cancer: Cause, Coincidence or Cure? A Review. *J. Transl. Med.* **2006**, *4*, 14. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5876-4-14)
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>61. Samaras, V.; Rafailidis, P.I.; Mourtzoukou, E.G.; Peppas, G.; Falagas, M.E. Chronic Bacterial and Parasitic Infections and Cancer: A Review. *J. Infect. Dev. Ctries.* **2010**, *4*, 267–281. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3855/jidc.819)
- <span id="page-19-3"></span>62. Fabbri, A.; Travaglione, S.; Fiorentini, C. *Escherichia Coli* Cytotoxic Necrotizing Factor 1 (CNF1): Toxin Biology, In Vivo Applications and Therapeutic Potential. *Toxins* **2010**, *2*, 283–296. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins2020282) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/22069584)
- <span id="page-19-4"></span>63. Karin, M.; Cao, Y.; Greten, F.R.; Li, Z.-W. NF-kappaB in Cancer: From Innocent Bystander to Major Culprit. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2002**, *2*, 301–310. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc780) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12001991)
- <span id="page-19-5"></span>64. Benelli, R. Aspirin, COX-2, and the Risk of Colorectal Cancer. *N. Engl. J. Med.* **2007**, *357*, 824–825. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMc071797) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17715417)
- <span id="page-19-6"></span>65. Marchesi, J.R.; Dutilh, B.E.; Hall, N.; Peters, W.H.M.; Roelofs, R.; Boleij, A.; Tjalsma, H. Towards the Human Colorectal Cancer Microbiome. *PLoS ONE* **2011**, *6*, e20447. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0020447) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21647227)
- <span id="page-19-7"></span>66. Voges, M.; Bachmann, V.; Kammerer, R.; Gophna, U.; Hauck, C.R. CEACAM1 Recognition by Bacterial Pathogens Is Species-Specific. *BMC Microbiol.* **2010**, *10*, 117. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2180-10-117) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20406467)
- <span id="page-19-8"></span>67. Piteau, M.; Papatheodorou, P.; Schwan, C.; Schlosser, A.; Aktories, K.; Schmidt, G. Correction: Lu/BCAM Adhesion Glycoprotein Is a Receptor for *Escherichia Coli* Cytotoxic Necrotizing Factor 1 (CNF1). *PLOS Pathog.* **2014**, *10*, e1003884. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1003884) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24453976)
- <span id="page-19-9"></span>68. Tantillo, E.; Colistra, A.; Vannini, E.; Cerri, C.; Pancrazi, L.; Baroncelli, L.; Costa, M.; Caleo, M. Bacterial Toxins and Targeted Brain Therapy: New Insights from Cytotoxic Necrotizing Factor 1 (CNF1). *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* **2018**, *19*, 1632. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms19061632)
- <span id="page-19-10"></span>69. Gordon, D.M. Chapter 1—The Ecology of *Escherichia Coli*. In *Escherichia coli*, 2nd ed.; Donnenberg, M.S., Ed.; Academic Press: Boston, MA, USA, 2013; pp. 3–20. ISBN 978-0-12-397048-0.
- <span id="page-19-11"></span>70. Jinadasa, R.N.; Bloom, S.E.; Weiss, R.S.; Duhamel, G.E. Cytolethal Distending Toxin: A Conserved Bacterial Genotoxin That Blocks Cell Cycle Progression, Leading to Apoptosis of a Broad Range of Mammalian Cell Lineages. *Microbiology* **2011**, *157*, 1851–1875. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.049536-0) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21565933)
- <span id="page-19-12"></span>71. Blazkova, H.; Krejcikova, K.; Moudry, P.; Frisan, T.; Hodny, Z.; Bartek, J. Bacterial Intoxication Evokes Cellular Senescence with Persistent DNA Damage and Cytokine Signalling. *J. Cell Mol. Med.* **2010**, *14*, 357–367. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1582-4934.2009.00862.x)
- <span id="page-19-13"></span>72. Cortes-Bratti, X.; Karlsson, C.; Lagergård, T.; Thelestam, M.; Frisan, T. The Haemophilus Ducreyi Cytolethal Distending Toxin Induces Cell Cycle Arrest and Apoptosis via the DNA Damage Checkpoint Pathways. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2001**, *276*, 5296–5302. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M008527200)
- <span id="page-19-14"></span>73. Bezine, E.; Vignard, J.; Mirey, G. The Cytolethal Distending Toxin Effects on Mammalian Cells: A DNA Damage Perspective. *Cells* **2014**, *3*, 592–615. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/cells3020592) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24921185)
- <span id="page-19-15"></span>74. Pérès, S.Y.; Marchès, O.; Daigle, F.; Nougayrède, J.P.; Herault, F.; Tasca, C.; De Rycke, J.; Oswald, E. A New Cytolethal Distending Toxin (CDT) from *Escherichia coli* Producing CNF2 Blocks HeLa Cell Division in G2/M Phase. *Mol. Microbiol.* **1997**, *24*, 1095–1107. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2958.1997.4181785.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9220015)
- <span id="page-19-16"></span>75. Comayras, C.; Tasca, C.; Pérès, S.Y.; Ducommun, B.; Oswald, E.; De Rycke, J. *Escherichia coli* Cytolethal Distending Toxin Blocks the HeLa Cell Cycle at the G2/M Transition by Preventing Cdc2 Protein Kinase Dephosphorylation and Activation. *Infect. Immun.* **1997**, *65*, 5088–5095. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.65.12.5088-5095.1997) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9393800)
- <span id="page-19-17"></span>76. Li, L.; Sharipo, A.; Chaves-Olarte, E.; Masucci, M.G.; Levitsky, V.; Thelestam, M.; Frisan, T. The Haemophilus Ducreyi Cytolethal Distending Toxin Activates Sensors of DNA Damage and Repair Complexes in Proliferating and Non-Proliferating Cells. *Cell Microbiol.* **2002**, *4*, 87–99. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-5822.2002.00174.x) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11896765)
- <span id="page-19-18"></span>77. Hassane, D.C.; Lee, R.B.; Pickett, C.L. Campylobacter Jejuni Cytolethal Distending Toxin Promotes DNA Repair Responses in Normal Human Cells. *Infect. Immun.* **2003**, *71*, 541–545. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.71.1.541-545.2003)
- <span id="page-19-19"></span>78. Rodier, F.; Coppé, J.-P.; Patil, C.K.; Hoeijmakers, W.A.M.; Muñoz, D.P.; Raza, S.R.; Freund, A.; Campeau, E.; Davalos, A.R.; Campisi, J. Persistent DNA Damage Signalling Triggers Senescence-Associated Inflammatory Cytokine Secretion. *Nat. Cell Biol.* **2009**, *11*, 973–979. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncb1909) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19597488)
- <span id="page-19-20"></span>79. Alby, F.; Mazars, R.; de Rycke, J.; Guillou, E.; Baldin, V.; Darbon, J.M.; Ducommun, B. Study of the Cytolethal Distending Toxin (CDT)-Activated Cell Cycle Checkpoint. Involvement of the CHK2 Kinase. *FEBS Lett.* **2001**, *491*, 261–265. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0014-5793(01)02205-0)
- <span id="page-19-21"></span>80. De Rycke, J.; Comtet, E.; Chalareng, C.; Boury, M.; Tasca, C.; Milon, A. Enteropathogenic *Escherichia coli* O103 from Rabbit Elicits Actin Stress Fibers and Focal Adhesions in HeLa Epithelial Cells, Cytopathic Effects That Are Linked to an Analog of the Locus of Enterocyte Effacement. *Infect. Immun.* **1997**, *65*, 2555–2563. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.65.7.2555-2563.1997) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/9199419)
- <span id="page-19-22"></span>81. Jubelin, G.; Chavez, C.V.; Taieb, F.; Banfield, M.J.; Samba-Louaka, A.; Nobe, R.; Nougayrède, J.-P.; Zumbihl, R.; Givaudan, A.; Escoubas, J.-M.; et al. Cycle Inhibiting Factors (CIFs) Are a Growing Family of Functional Cyclomodulins Present in Invertebrate and Mammal Bacterial Pathogens. *PLoS ONE* **2009**, *4*, e4855. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0004855)
- <span id="page-19-23"></span>82. Taieb, F.; Nougayrède, J.-P.; Watrin, C.; Samba-Louaka, A.; Oswald, E. *Escherichia Coli* Cyclomodulin Cif Induces G2 Arrest of the Host Cell Cycle without Activation of the DNA-Damage Checkpoint-Signalling Pathway. *Cell. Microbiol.* **2006**, *8*, 1910–1921. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1462-5822.2006.00757.x)
- <span id="page-19-24"></span>83. Wilson, M.R.; Jiang, Y.; Villalta, P.W.; Stornetta, A.; Boudreau, P.D.; Carrá, A.; Brennan, C.A.; Chun, E.; Ngo, L.; Samson, L.D.; et al. The Human Gut Bacterial Genotoxin Colibactin Alkylates DNA. *Science* **2019**, *363*, eaar7785. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aar7785) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30765538)
- <span id="page-19-25"></span>84. Clay, S.L.; Fonseca-Pereira, D.; Garrett, W.S. Colorectal Cancer: The Facts in the Case of the Microbiota. *J. Clin. Investig.* **2022**, *132*, e155101. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI155101)
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>85. Torres, J.P.; Lin, Z.; Winter, J.M.; Krug, P.J.; Schmidt, E.W. Animal Biosynthesis of Complex Polyketides in a Photosynthetic Partnership. *Nat. Commun.* **2020**, *11*, 2882. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-16376-5)
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>86. Fischbach, M.A.; Walsh, C.T. Assembly-Line Enzymology for Polyketide and Nonribosomal Peptide Antibiotics: Logic, Machinery, and Mechanisms. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 3468–3496. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/cr0503097) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/16895337)
- <span id="page-20-2"></span>87. Cox, R.J.; Simpson, T.J. Fungal Type I Polyketide Synthases. *Methods Enzymol.* **2009**, *459*, 49–78. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(09)04603-5) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/19362635)
- <span id="page-20-3"></span>88. Williams, G.J. Engineering Polyketide Synthases and Nonribosomal Peptide Synthetases. *Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol.* **2013**, *23*, 603–612. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbi.2013.06.012) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/23838175)
- <span id="page-20-4"></span>89. Shimizu, Y.; Ogata, H.; Goto, S. Type III Polyketide Synthases: Functional Classification and Phylogenomics. *ChemBioChem* **2016**, *18*. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/cbic.201600522)
- <span id="page-20-5"></span>90. Pfeifer, B.A.; Wang, C.C.C.; Walsh, C.T.; Khosla, C. Biosynthesis of Yersiniabactin, a Complex Polyketide-Nonribosomal Peptide, Using *Escherichia Coli* as a Heterologous Host. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2003**, *69*, 6698–6702. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.69.11.6698-6702.2003) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14602630)
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>91. Crosa, J.H.; Walsh, C.T. Genetics and Assembly Line Enzymology of Siderophore Biosynthesis in Bacteria. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **2002**, *66*, 223–249. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.66.2.223-249.2002)
- <span id="page-20-7"></span>92. Addington, E.; Sandalli, S.; Roe, A.J. Current Understandings of Colibactin Regulation. *Microbiology* **2024**, *170*, 001427. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.001427)
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>93. Beld, J.; Sonnenschein, E.C.; Vickery, C.R.; Noel, J.P.; Burkart, M.D. The Phosphopantetheinyl Transferases: Catalysis of a Posttranslational Modification Crucial for Life. *Nat. Prod. Rep.* **2014**, *31*, 61–108. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/c3np70054b) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24292120)
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>94. Chagneau, C.V.; Payros, D.; Tang-Fichaux, M.; Auvray, F.; Nougayrède, J.-P.; Oswald, E. The Pks Island: A Bacterial Swiss Army Knife? Colibactin: Beyond DNA Damage and Cancer. *Trends Microbiol.* **2022**, *30*, 1146–1159. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2022.05.010)
- <span id="page-20-10"></span>95. Brachmann, A.O.; Garcie, C.; Wu, V.; Martin, P.; Ueoka, R.; Oswald, E.; Piel, J. Colibactin Biosynthesis and Biological Activity Depend on the Rare Aminomalonyl Polyketide Precursor. *Chem. Commun.* **2015**, *51*, 13138–13141. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5cc02718g)
- <span id="page-20-11"></span>96. Faïs, T.; Delmas, J.; Barnich, N.; Bonnet, R.; Dalmasso, G. Colibactin: More Than a New Bacterial Toxin. *Toxins* **2018**, *10*, E151. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3390/toxins10040151) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29642622)
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>97. Cloup, E. Etude de L'effet Mutagène Lors D'une Colonisation Néonatale par Une Souche de *Escherichia coli* Produisant la Colibactine. Exercise Thesis, Ecole Nationale Vétérinaire de Toulouse, Toulouse, France, 2013.
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>98. Brotherton, C.A.; Balskus, E.P. A Prodrug Resistance Mechanism Is Involved in Colibactin Biosynthesis and Cytotoxicity. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 3359–3362. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1021/ja312154m)
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>99. Li, Z.-R.; Li, J.; Gu, J.-P.; Lai, J.Y.H.; Duggan, B.M.; Zhang, W.-P.; Li, Z.-L.; Li, Y.-X.; Tong, R.-B.; Xu, Y.; et al. Divergent Biosynthesis Yields a Cytotoxic Aminomalonate-Containing Precolibactin. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2016**, *12*, 773–775. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.2157)
- <span id="page-20-15"></span>100. Mousa, J.J.; Yang, Y.; Tomkovich, S.; Shima, A.; Newsome, R.C.; Tripathi, P.; Oswald, E.; Bruner, S.D.; Jobin, C. MATE Transport of the *E. Coli*-Derived Genotoxin Colibactin. *Nat. Microbiol.* **2016**, *1*, 15009. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmicrobiol.2015.9) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27571755)
- <span id="page-20-16"></span>101. Bian, X.; Plaza, A.; Zhang, Y.; Müller, R. Two More Pieces of the Colibactin Genotoxin Puzzle from *Escherichia Coli* Show Incorporation of an Unusual 1-Aminocyclopropanecarboxylic Acid Moiety. *Chem. Sci.* **2015**, *6*, 3154–3160. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/c5sc00101c)
- <span id="page-20-17"></span>102. Bossuet-Greif, N.; Dubois, D.; Petit, C.; Tronnet, S.; Martin, P.; Bonnet, R.; Oswald, E.; Nougayrède, J.-P. *Escherichia coli* ClbS Is a Colibactin Resistance Protein. *Mol. Microbiol.* **2016**, *99*, 897–908. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/mmi.13272)
- <span id="page-20-18"></span>103. Arthur, J.C.; Gharaibeh, R.Z.; Mühlbauer, M.; Perez-Chanona, E.; Uronis, J.M.; McCafferty, J.; Fodor, A.A.; Jobin, C. Microbial Genomic Analysis Reveals the Essential Role of Inflammation in Bacteria-Induced Colorectal Cancer. *Nat. Commun.* **2014**, *5*, 4724. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5724)
- <span id="page-20-19"></span>104. Yang, Y.; Gharaibeh, R.Z.; Newsome, R.C.; Jobin, C. Amending Microbiota by Targeting Intestinal Inflammation with TNF Blockade Attenuates Development of Colorectal Cancer. *Nat. Cancer* **2020**, *1*, 723–734. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s43018-020-0078-7) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33768208)
- <span id="page-20-20"></span>105. Tronnet, S.; Garcie, C.; Rehm, N.; Dobrindt, U.; Oswald, E.; Martin, P. Iron Homeostasis Regulates the Genotoxicity of *Escherichia Coli* That Produces Colibactin. *Infect. Immun.* **2016**, *84*, 3358–3368. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.00659-16) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27620723)
- <span id="page-20-21"></span>106. Tronnet, S.; Garcie, C.; Brachmann, A.O.; Piel, J.; Oswald, E.; Martin, P. High Iron Supply Inhibits the Synthesis of the Genotoxin Colibactin by Pathogenic *Escherichia coli* through a Non-Canonical Fur/RyhB-Mediated Pathway. *Pathog. Dis.* **2017**, *75*, ftx066. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1093/femspd/ftx066) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28637194)
- <span id="page-20-22"></span>107. Chagneau, C.V.; Garcie, C.; Bossuet-Greif, N.; Tronnet, S.; Brachmann, A.O.; Piel, J.; Nougayrède, J.-P.; Martin, P.; Oswald, E. The Polyamine Spermidine Modulates the Production of the Bacterial Genotoxin Colibactin. *mSphere* **2019**, *4*, e00414-19. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.00414-19) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31578245)
- <span id="page-20-23"></span>108. Tang-Fichaux, M.; Chagneau, C.; Bossuet-Greif, N.; Nougayrède, J.-P.; Oswald, E.; Branchu, P. The Polyphosphate Kinase of *Escherichia coli* Is Required for Full Production of the Genotoxin Colibactin. *Msphere* **2020**, *5*, 10–1128. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/mSphere.01195-20)
- <span id="page-20-24"></span>109. Oliero, M.; Calvé, A.; Fragoso, G.; Cuisiniere, T.; Hajjar, R.; Dobrindt, U.; Santos, M.M. Oligosaccharides Increase the Genotoxic Effect of Colibactin Produced by Pks+ *Escherichia coli* Strains. *BMC Cancer* **2021**, *21*, 172. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12885-021-07876-8) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/33596864)
- <span id="page-20-25"></span>110. Dubinsky, V.; Dotan, I.; Gophna, U. Carriage of Colibactin-Producing Bacteria and Colorectal Cancer Risk. *Trends Microbiol.* **2020**, *28*, 874–876. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2020.05.015) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32507544)
- <span id="page-20-26"></span>111. Dejea, C.M.; Fathi, P.; Craig, J.M.; Boleij, A.; Taddese, R.; Geis, A.L.; Wu, X.; DeStefano Shields, C.E.; Hechenbleikner, E.M.; Huso, D.L.; et al. Patients with Familial Adenomatous Polyposis Harbor Colonic Biofilms Containing Tumorigenic Bacteria. *Science* **2018**, *359*, 592–597. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah3648) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/29420293)
- <span id="page-20-27"></span>112. Pleguezuelos-Manzano, C.; Puschhof, J.; Rosendahl Huber, A.; van Hoeck, A.; Wood, H.M.; Nomburg, J.; Gurjao, C.; Manders, F.; Dalmasso, G.; Stege, P.B.; et al. Mutational Signature in Colorectal Cancer Caused by Genotoxic Pks+ *E. coli*. *Nature* **2020**, *580*, 269–273. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-020-2080-8)
- <span id="page-21-0"></span>113. Lee-Six, H.; Olafsson, S.; Ellis, P.; Osborne, R.J.; Sanders, M.A.; Moore, L.; Georgakopoulos, N.; Torrente, F.; Noorani, A.; Goddard, M.; et al. The Landscape of Somatic Mutation in Normal Colorectal Epithelial Cells. *Nature* **2019**, *574*, 532–537. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-019-1672-7)
- <span id="page-21-1"></span>114. Cougnoux, A.; Dalmasso, G.; Martinez, R.; Buc, E.; Delmas, J.; Gibold, L.; Sauvanet, P.; Darcha, C.; Déchelotte, P.; Bonnet, M.; et al. Bacterial Genotoxin Colibactin Promotes Colon Tumour Growth by Inducing a Senescence-Associated Secretory Phenotype. *Gut* **2014**, *63*, 1932–1942. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1136/gutjnl-2013-305257) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/24658599)
- <span id="page-21-2"></span>115. Boot, A.; Ng, A.W.T.; Chong, F.T.; Ho, S.-C.; Yu, W.; Tan, D.S.W.; Iyer, N.G.; Rozen, S.G. Characterization of Colibactin-Associated Mutational Signature in an Asian Oral Squamous Cell Carcinoma and in Other Mucosal Tumor Types. *Genome Res.* **2020**, *30*, 803–813. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.255620.119) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/32661091)
- <span id="page-21-3"></span>116. Cuevas-Ramos, G.; Petit, C.R.; Marcq, I.; Boury, M.; Oswald, E.; Nougayrède, J.-P. *Escherichia Coli* Induces DNA Damage in Vivo and Triggers Genomic Instability in Mammalian Cells. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **2010**, *107*, 11537–11542. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1001261107) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/20534522)
- <span id="page-21-4"></span>117. Cuevas Ramos, G. Effets Génotoxiques Des Souches de *Escherichia Coli* Produisant La Colibactine. Ph.D. Thesis, Université de Toulouse, Université Toulouse III—Paul Sabatier, Toulouse, France, 2010.
- <span id="page-21-5"></span>118. Volpe, M.R.; Velilla, J.A.; Daniel-Ivad, M.; Yao, J.J.; Stornetta, A.; Villalta, P.W.; Huang, H.-C.; Bachovchin, D.A.; Balbo, S.; Gaudet, R.; et al. A Small Molecule Inhibitor Prevents Gut Bacterial Genotoxin Production. *Nat. Chem. Biol.* **2023**, *19*, 159–167. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41589-022-01147-8) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/36253549)
- <span id="page-21-6"></span>119. Harnack, C.; Berger, H.; Liu, L.; Mollenkopf, H.-J.; Strowig, T.; Sigal, M. Short-Term Mucosal Disruption Enables Colibactin-Producing *E. Coli* to Cause Long-Term Perturbation of Colonic Homeostasis. *Gut Microbes* **2023**, *15*, 2233689. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2023.2233689) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37427832)
- <span id="page-21-7"></span>120. Rao, S.G.; Jackson, J.G. SASP: Tumor Suppressor or Promoter? Yes! *Trends Cancer* **2016**, *2*, 676–687. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.trecan.2016.10.001) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28741506)
- <span id="page-21-8"></span>121. Lin, C.; Cai, X.; Zhang, J.; Wang, W.; Sheng, Q.; Hua, H.; Zhou, X. Role of Gut Microbiota in the Development and Treatment of Colorectal Cancer. *Digestion* **2019**, *100*, 72–78. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1159/000494052) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30332668)
- <span id="page-21-9"></span>122. Feringa, F.M.; Raaijmakers, J.A.; Hadders, M.A.; Vaarting, C.; Macurek, L.; Heitink, L.; Krenning, L.; Medema, R.H. Persistent Repair Intermediates Induce Senescence. *Nat. Commun.* **2018**, *9*, 3923. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-018-06308-9) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30254262)
- 123. Yusof, H.; Zulpa, A.K.; Isa, N.S.M.; Ahmad, F.T.; Kassim, M.N.I. Quality Characteristics, Antioxidant and Anticancer Potential of Stingless Bee Honey and Honeybee Honey from Similar Environmental Conditions. *IIUM Med. J. Malays.* **2021**, *20*. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.31436/imjm.v20i4.1753)
- <span id="page-21-10"></span>124. Kim, D.H.; Jin, Y.H. Intestinal Bacterial Beta-Glucuronidase Activity of Patients with Colon Cancer. *Arch. Pharm. Res.* **2001**, *24*, 564–567. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02975166)
- <span id="page-21-11"></span>125. Chen, B.; Ramazzotti, D.; Heide, T.; Spiteri, I.; Fernandez-Mateos, J.; James, C.; Magnani, L.; Graham, T.A.; Sottoriva, A. Contribution of Pks+ *E. Coli* Mutations to Colorectal Carcinogenesis. *Nat. Commun.* **2023**, *14*, 7827. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-43329-5) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38030613)
- <span id="page-21-12"></span>126. Miyasaka, T.; Yamada, T.; Uehara, K.; Sonoda, H.; Matsuda, A.; Shinji, S.; Ohta, R.; Kuriyama, S.; Yokoyama, Y.; Takahashi, G.; et al. Pks-Positive *Escherichia Coli* in Tumor Tissue and Surrounding Normal Mucosal Tissue of Colorectal Cancer Patients. *Cancer Sci.* **2024**, *115*, 1184–1195. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1111/cas.16088) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38297479)
- <span id="page-21-13"></span>127. Wami, H.; Wallenstein, A.; Sauer, D.; Stoll, M.; von Bünau, R.; Oswald, E.; Müller, R.; Dobrindt, U. Insights into Evolution and Coexistence of the Colibactin- and Yersiniabactin Secondary Metabolite Determinants in Enterobacterial Populations. *Microb. Genom.* **2021**, *7*, 000577. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000577)
- <span id="page-21-14"></span>128. Silpe, J.E.; Wong, J.W.H.; Owen, S.V.; Baym, M.; Balskus, E.P. The Bacterial Toxin Colibactin Triggers Prophage Induction. *Nature* **2022**, *603*, 315–320. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-022-04444-3) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35197633)
- <span id="page-21-15"></span>129. Bossuet, N.; Guyonnet, C.; Chagneau, C.V.; Tang-Fichaux, M.; Penary, M.; Loubet, D.; Branchu, P.; Oswald, E.; Nougayrede, J.-P. Oxygen Concentration Modulates Colibactin Production. *Gut Microbes* **2023**, *15*, 2222437. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2023.2222437) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37312436)
- <span id="page-21-16"></span>130. Xue, M.; Kim, C.S.; Healy, A.R.; Wernke, K.M.; Wang, Z.; Frischling, M.C.; Shine, E.E.; Wang, W.; Herzon, S.B.; Crawford, J.M. Structure Elucidation of Colibactin and Its DNA Cross-Links. *Science* **2019**, *365*, eaax2685. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aax2685) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/31395743)
- <span id="page-21-17"></span>131. Jiang, Z.; Chen, A.; Chen, J.; Sekhon, A.; Louie, G.V.; Noel, J.P.; La Clair, J.J.; Burkart, M.D. Masked Cerulenin Enables a Dual-Site Selective Protein Crosslink. *Chem. Sci.* **2023**, *14*, 10925–10933. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1039/D3SC02864J) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/37829009)
- <span id="page-21-18"></span>132. Sun, X.; Yuan, Y.; Chen, Q.; Nie, S.; Guo, J.; Ou, Z.; Huang, M.; Deng, Z.; Liu, T.; Ma, T. Metabolic Pathway Assembly Using Docking Domains from Type I Cis-AT Polyketide Synthases. *Nat. Commun.* **2022**, *13*, 5541. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-33272-2)
- <span id="page-21-19"></span>133. Dupont, H.L.; Jiang, Z.-D.; Dupont, A.W.; Utay, N.S. The intestinal microbiome in human health and disease. *Trans. Am. Clin. Climatol. Assoc.* **2020**, *131*, 178–197.
- <span id="page-21-20"></span>134. Buffie, C.G.; Pamer, E.G. Microbiota-Mediated Colonization Resistance against Intestinal Pathogens. *Nat. Rev. Immunol.* **2013**, *13*, 790–801. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nri3535)
- <span id="page-21-21"></span>135. Gogokhia, L.; Buhrke, K.; Bell, R.; Hoffman, B.; Brown, D.G.; Hanke-Gogokhia, C.; Ajami, N.J.; Wong, M.C.; Ghazaryan, A.; Valentine, J.F.; et al. Expansion of Bacteriophages Is Linked to Aggravated Intestinal Inflammation and Colitis. *Cell Host Microbe* **2019**, *25*, 285–299.e8. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2019.01.008) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/30763538)
- <span id="page-21-22"></span>136. Federici, S.; Kredo-Russo, S.; Valdés-Mas, R.; Kviatcovsky, D.; Weinstock, E.; Matiuhin, Y.; Silberberg, Y.; Atarashi, K.; Furuichi, M.; Oka, A.; et al. Targeted Suppression of Human IBD-Associated Gut Microbiota Commensals by Phage Consortia for Treatment of Intestinal Inflammation. *Cell* **2022**, *185*, 2879–2898.e24. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2022.07.003) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/35931020)
- <span id="page-21-23"></span>137. Stintzing, S. Management of Colorectal Cancer. *F1000Prime Rep.* **2014**, *6*, 108. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.12703/P6-108)
- <span id="page-21-24"></span>138. Geller, L.T.; Barzily-Rokni, M.; Danino, T.; Jonas, O.H.; Shental, N.; Nejman, D.; Gavert, N.; Zwang, Y.; Cooper, Z.A.; Shee, K.; et al. Potential Role of Intratumor Bacteria in Mediating Tumor Resistance to the Chemotherapeutic Drug Gemcitabine. *Science* **2017**, *357*, 1156–1160. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aah5043) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28912244)
- <span id="page-22-0"></span>139. Lopès, A.; Billard, E.; Casse, A.H.; Villéger, R.; Veziant, J.; Roche, G.; Carrier, G.; Sauvanet, P.; Briat, A.; Pagès, F.; et al. Colibactin-Positive *Escherichia Coli* Induce a Procarcinogenic Immune Environment Leading to Immunotherapy Resistance in Colorectal Cancer. *Int. J. Cancer* **2020**, *146*, 3147–3159. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.32920)
- <span id="page-22-1"></span>140. Xing, Y.; Clark, J.R.; Chang, J.D.; Zulk, J.J.; Chirman, D.M.; Piedra, F.-A.; Vaughan, E.E.; Hernandez Santos, H.J.; Patras, K.A.; Maresso, A.W. Progress toward a Vaccine for Extraintestinal Pathogenic *E. Coli* (ExPEC) II: Efficacy of a Toxin-Autotransporter Dual Antigen Approach. *Infect. Immun.* **2024**, *92*, e0044023. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1128/iai.00440-23) [\[PubMed\]](https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/38591882)
- <span id="page-22-2"></span>141. Dougherty, M.W.; Jobin, C. Intestinal Bacteria and Colorectal Cancer: Etiology and Treatment. *Gut Microbes* **2023**, *15*, 2185028. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1080/19490976.2023.2185028)
- <span id="page-22-3"></span>142. Valle, L. Genetic Predisposition to Colorectal Cancer: Where We Stand and Future Perspectives. *World J. Gastroenterol.* **2014**, *20*, 9828–9849. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v20.i29.9828)
- <span id="page-22-4"></span>143. Dienstmann, R.; Vermeulen, L.; Guinney, J.; Kopetz, S.; Tejpar, S.; Tabernero, J. Consensus Molecular Subtypes and the Evolution of Precision Medicine in Colorectal Cancer. *Nat. Rev. Cancer* **2017**, *17*, 79–92. [\[CrossRef\]](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc.2016.126)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.